REH-559 V.5 C.2

JAPAN INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION AGENCY(JICA)

DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF WATER MINISTRY OF PUBLIC WORKS THE REPUBLIC OF CHILE

THE STUDY

ON

THE DEVELOPMENT OF WATER RESOURCES IN NORTHERN CHILE

SUPPORTING REPORT C : WATER USE

MARCH 1995

PACIFIC CONSULTANTS INTERNATIONAL, TOKYO

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter I | MUNI | CIPAL WATER OF ARICA CITY | I-1 |
|------------|---------|--|-------|
| 1.1 | Existin | ng Water Supply Service | I-1 |
| | 1.1.1 | Area and Population Served | I-1 |
| | 1.1.2 | Water Source and Water Rights | I-2 |
| | 1.1.3 | Water Production and Consumption | I-3 |
| | 1.1.4 | Water Restrictions | I-5 |
| 1.2 | Future | Water Demand | I-5 |
| | 1.2.1 | Projected Populations | I-5 |
| | 1.2.2 | Per Capita Water Consumption | I-6 |
| | 1.2.3 | FutureWater Demand | I-7 |
| | 1.2.4 | Real Water Consumption | I-9 |
| 1.3 | Water | for Industrial Use | I-9 |
| 1.4 | Water | for Tourism Development | I-10 |
| Refe | rences | | I-11 |
| | | | |
| Chapter II | IRRIG | ATION AND OTHER WATER USES IN AZAPA VALLEY | II-1 |
| 2.1 | Existir | ng Irrigated Areas | II-1 |
| | 2.1.1 | Irrigation Sectors | II-1 |
| | 2.1.2 | Irrigated Areas | II-2 |
| 2.2 | Existir | ng Irrigation Water Use | II-5 |
| | 2.2.1 | Existing Water Demand | II-5 |
| | 2.2.2 | Actual Water Extraction | II-7 |
| | 2.2.3 | Real Water Consumption | II-9 |
| | 2.2.4 | Water Rights | II-10 |
| 2.3 | Other | Existing Water Use | II-11 |
| | 2.3.1 | Existing Water Use | II-11 |
| | 2.3.2 | Water Rights | II-11 |
| Refe | rences | | II-13 |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Chapter III | IRRIG | ATION | WATER | OF | LOWER | LLUTA | VALLEY | III-1 |
|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|----|-------|-------|--------|-------|
| 3.1 | Existir | ng Irrigat | ed Areas | | | | | III-1 |
| | 3.1.1 | Irrigatio | on system | | | ••••• | | ПІ-1 |

| | 3.1.2 | Irrigated Areas and Cropping Patterns | III-2 |
|------|---------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| 3.2 | Existi | ng Water Use and Water Rights | III-3 |
| | 3.2.1 | Unit Water Demand | III-4 |
| | 3.2.2 | Total Water Demand | III-5 |
| | 3.2.3 | Real Water Consumption | III-5 |
| | 3.2.4 | Water Rights | III-6 |
| Refe | erences | | III-8 |

| Chapter IV | MUNI | ICIPAL WATER OF IQUIQUE CITY IV- | -1 |
|------------|---------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Existin | ng Water Supply Service IV- | -1 |
| | 4.1.1 | Existing Water Supply System IV- | -1 |
| | 4.1.2 | Water Production and Consumption IV- | -2 |
| | 4.1.3 | Water Restrictions IV- | -5 |
| 4.2 | Future | Water Demand IV- | -5 |
| | 4.2.1 | Projected Population | -5 |
| | 4.2.2 | Per Capita Water Consumption IV- | -6 |
| | 4.2.3 | Projected Water Demand IV- | -6 |
| | 4.2.4 | Water Rights IV- | -7 |
| Refe | rences. | | -8 |

| Chapter V | DOMESTIC WATER OF PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL | V-1 | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----|--|
| 5.1 | Existing Town Water Use | | |
| | 5.1.1 Towns and Population Served | V-1 | |
| | 5.1.2 Water Consumption | V-2 | |
| | 5.1.3 Water Rights | V-3 | |
| 5.2 | Future Town Water Demand | V-3 | |
| | 5.2.1 Population Projections | V-3 | |
| | 5.2.2 Per Capita Water Consumption | V-4 | |
| | 5.2.3 Projected Water Demand | V-4 | |
| 5.3 | Other Water Use | V-5 | |
| 5.4 | Real Water Consumption | V-6 | |
| Refe | rences | V-7 | |

| Chapter VI | IRRIGATION WATER USE IN THE PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL VI-1 | Į |
|------------|---|---|
| 6.1 | Irrigation of River Valleys VI-1 | l |
| | 6.1.1 Existing Irrigated Areas VI-1 | l |
| | 6.1.2 Existing Water Use VI-1 | l |
| 6.2 | Irrigation in the Pica and Matilla Area VI-3 | 3 |
| | 6.2.1 Existing Irrigated Areas and Crops VI-3 | 3 |
| | 6.2.2 Existing Water Use VI-3 | 3 |
| | 6.2.3 Future Irrigation Areas and Crops VI-6 | 5 |
| | 6.2.4 Water Rights Applications VI-6 | 5 |
| | 6.2.5 Future Water Demand | 5 |
| 6.3 | CAPPTA Project Irrigation Water VI-7 | 1 |
| | 6.3.1 Futrure Water Demand VI-7 | 1 |
| | 6.3.2 Water Rights Application VI-9 |) |
| Refe | rences | 0 |
| | | |

| Chapter VII | MINI | NG WATER USE IN THE PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL VII | -1 |
|-------------|---------|---|----|
| 7.1 | Existin | g Water Use VII | -1 |
| | 7.1.1 | Existing Mining Companies and Mines VII | -1 |
| | 7.1.2 | Existing Water Use and Real Consumption | -1 |
| | 7.1.3 | Water Rights | -2 |
| 7.2 | Future | Water Demand | -2 |
| | 7.2.1 | Water Rights Applications | -2 |
| | 7.2.2 | Future Mining Water Demand VII | -3 |
| | 7.2.3 | Real Water Consumption VII | -4 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table C.1.1 | Existing Well Capacity of Arica Municipal Water Supply <capacidad abastecimiento="" agua<br="" de="" el="" existentes="" para="" pozos="">Municipal en Arica></capacidad> | I-12 |
|-----------------|---|-------|
| Table C.1.2 | Existing Municipal Water Production and Consumption of Arica City (1990) <producción agua="" arica<br="" consumo="" de="" en="" existente="" municipal="" y="">(1990)></producción> | I-13 |
| Table C.2.1 | Existing Cultivated Area by Crop and by Irrigation Method in Azapa Valley <area cosecha="" cultivo="" de="" en<br="" existente="" método="" por="" riego="" y=""/> el Valle de Azapa> | II-14 |
| Table C.2.2 | Existing Monthly Irrigation Area by Crop and by Irrigation Method in Azapa Valley <area cultivos="" de<br="" existente="" irrigada="" los="" mensual="" metodo="" según="" y=""/> Riego en el Valle de Azapa> | II-15 |
| Table C.2.3 | Potential Evapotranspiration of Azapa Valley <evapotranspiración azapa="" de="" del="" potencial="" valle=""></evapotranspiración> | II-16 |
| Table C.2.4 | Actual Evapotranspiration by Crop <evapotranspiración cultivo="" por="" real=""></evapotranspiración> | II-17 |
| Table C.2.5 | Unit Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method <i>Demanda Unitaria de Agua para Irrigación por Cltivo y por Método</i> <i>de Riego></i> | II-18 |
| Table C.2.6 (1) | Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method <i>Commanda Existente de Agua para Riego por Cultivo y por Método</i> <i>de Riego</i> | II-19 |
| Table C.2.6 (2) | Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method <i>Commanda Existente de Agua para Riego por Cultivo y por Método</i> <i>de Riego</i> | II-20 |

| Table C.2.7 | Flow in Azapa Canal 1986 - 1990 | |
|-------------|--|--------------|
| | <caudal -="" 1986="" 1990="" azapa="" bocatoma="" canal="" de="" del="" durante=""></caudal> | II-21 |
| Table C.2.8 | Yearly Average Flow Rate of Springs | |
| | <flujo anual="" de="" promedio="" vertientes=""></flujo> | II-22 |
| Table C.2.9 | Existing Irrigation Water Consumption by Evaporation | |
| | <consumo agua="" de="" evapotranspiración="" existente="" para="" por="" riego=""></consumo> | II-23 |
| Table C.3.1 | Existing Irrigation Area by Crop of Lower Lluta Valley | |
| | <area cultivo="" de="" el="" en="" existente="" lluta="" por="" riego="" valle=""/> | III-9 |
| Table C.3.2 | Unit Irrigation Water Demand by Crop Type - Lower Lluta Valley | |
| | <demanda agua="" cultivo="" de="" para="" por="" riego="" unitaria=""></demanda> | Ш-11 |
| Table C.3.3 | Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop Type | |
| | <demanda agua="" cultivo="" de="" existente="" para="" por="" riego=""></demanda> | III-12 |
| Table C.3.4 | Real Irrigation Water Consumption in the Downstream Reaches of | |
| | Tocontasi/Chapisca Station | |
| | <consumo abajo="" agua="" de="" el="" en="" estación<="" la="" real="" riego="" td=""><td></td></consumo> | |
| | de la Confluencia de Tocontasi/Chapisca> | III-13 |
| Table C.4.1 | Existing Municipal Water Production and Consumption of Iquique | |
| | City (1990) | |
| | <producción agua="" consumo="" de="" en="" existente="" iquique<="" municipal="" td=""><td></td></producción> | |
| | (1990)> | IV-9 |
| Table C.6.1 | Existing Irrigation Water Rights/Use - Pica and Matilla Area | |
| | <derechos -="" agua="" area="" de="" existentes="" pica="" riego="" td="" uso="" y<=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | Matilla> | VI-11 |
| Table C.7.1 | Existing Legally Authorized Mining Water Rights/Usage in Pampa | |
| | del Tamarugal | |
| | <derechos agua="" autorizados="" de="" en="" la<="" legalmente="" minería="" para="" td="" uso=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | Pampa del Tamarugal> | VII-5 |
| Table C.7.2 | Applications for Mining Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin | |
| | <solicitudes agua="" de="" del<="" derechos="" en="" minería="" pampa="" para="" td=""><td></td></solicitudes> | |
| | Tamarugal> | VII-6 |

| Appendix C.1 | Existing Legally Authorized Water Rights in Arica City area and Azapa Valley <derechos agua="" area="" autorizados="" de="" de<br="" el="" en="" existente,="" legalmente="">la Ciudad de Arica y Valle de Azapa></derechos> | AP-1 |
|--------------|---|------|
| Appendix C.2 | Existing Customary Water Rights in Arica City Area and Azapa Valley <derechos agua="" area="" ciudad<="" costumbre="" de="" el="" en="" existente="" la="" td=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | de Arica y Valle de Azapa> | AP-2 |
| Appendix C.3 | Existing Legally Authorized and Customary Water Rights in Lower Lluta Valley | |
| | <derechos agua="" authorizados="" de="" de<="" existentes,="" legalmente="" td="" y=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | Costumbre en las extensiones inferiores del Río Lluta> | AP-3 |
| Appendix C.4 | Existing Legally Authorized Water Rights in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin | |
| | <derechos agua="" autorizado="" cuenca<="" de="" en="" existente="" la="" legalmente="" td=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | de la Pampa del Tamarugal> | AP-5 |
| Appendix C.5 | Existing Custom Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin | |
| | <derechos agua="" consumbre="" cuenca="" de="" en="" existentes="" la="" la<="" td=""><td></td></derechos> | |
| | Pampa del Tamarugal> | AP-7 |
| Appendix C.6 | Application for Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin | |
| | <solicitudes agua="" de="" del="" derechos="" en="" pampa="" tamarugal=""></solicitudes> | AP-8 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig. C.1.1 | Existing Municipal Water Supply System of Arica City | |
|----------------|---|--------|
| | <sistema abastecimiento="" agua="" arica="" de="" existente="" potable=""></sistema> | I-14 |
| Fig. C.1.2 | Population Projections - Arica | |
| | <proyección -="" arica="" de="" la="" población=""></proyección> | I-15 |
| Fig. C.1.3 | Projections of Water Consumption and Production - Arica | |
| | < Proyección de Consumo y Producción de Agua - Arica> | I-16 |
| Fig. C.1.4 | Location of Industrial Park, ZOFRI - Arica | |
| | <ubicación -="" arica="" del="" industrial,="" parque="" zofri=""></ubicación> | I-17 |
| Fig. C.2.1 | Location of Irrigation Sectors and Sub-sectors -Azapa Valley | |
| | <ubicación -="" azapa="" de="" riego="" sectores="" sub-sectores="" valle="" y=""></ubicación> | II-24 |
| Fig. C.2.2 | River System of San José and Lluta River Basin | |
| | <sistema cuenca="" de="" del="" fluvial="" josé="" la="" lluta="" río="" san="" y=""></sistema> | П-25 |
| Fig. C.2.3 | Spring Water Distribution System for Irrigation Use | |
| | <sistema aguas="" de="" de<="" distribución="" las="" para="" td="" uso="" vertientes=""><td>TT OC</td></sistema> | TT OC |
| | Klego> | 11-20 |
| Fig. C.3.1 (1) | Location of Irrigation Sectors and Sub-sectors - Lluta Valley | |
| | <ubicación -="" de="" lluta="" riego="" sectores="" sub-sectores="" valle="" y=""></ubicación> | III-14 |
| Fig. C.3.1 (2) | Location of Irrigation Sectors and Sub-sectors - Lluta Valley | |
| | <i><ubicación -="" de="" lluta="" riego="" sectores="" sub-sectores="" valle="" y=""></ubicación></i> | III-15 |
| Fig. C.4.1 | Water Conveyance Systems of Iquique City and Province | |
| | <sistemas agua="" ciudad="" conducción="" de="" de<="" la="" provincia="" td="" y=""><td></td></sistemas> | |
| | Iquique> | IV-10 |
| Fig. C.4.2 | Population Projections - Iquique | |
| | <proyección -="" de="" iquique="" la="" población=""></proyección> | IV-11 |
| Fig. C.4.3 | Projections of Water Consumption and Production - Iquique | |
| | Provección de Consumo y Producción de Agua - Iquiques | TV-12 |

| Fig. C.5.1 | Towns Served by ESSAT in Pampa Del Tamarugal | |
|------------|---|-------|
| | <pueblos del="" en="" essat="" la="" pampa="" por="" servidos="" tamarugal=""></pueblos> | V-8 |
| Fig. C.6.1 | Location of Irrigation and Mining Areas in the Pampa del Tamarugal | |
| | <ubicación areas="" de="" del<="" en="" la="" mineras="" pampa="" riego="" td="" y=""><td></td></ubicación> | |
| | Tamarugal> | VI-12 |
| Fig. C.6.2 | Location of Water Rights and Water Rights Applications in Pampa | |
| | del Tamarugal | |
| | <ubicación agua="" de="" del<="" derechos="" en="" la="" pampa="" solicitudes="" td="" y=""><td></td></ubicación> | |
| | Tamarugal> | VI-13 |

Chapter I. MUNICIPAL WATER OF ARICA CITY

1.1 Existing Water Supply Service

1.1.1 Area and Population Served

The existing municipal water supply system covers about 1680 ha of the urbanized area of Arica city, serving almost the entire population of the city.

The service area is divided into 4 sectors: Sector I, II, III and IV as shown in Fig. C.1.1. Water is distributed to the 4 sectors from 5 distribution tanks: La Cruz, La Lisera, Chuño, Saucache and Rosado. The estimated area served, 1990 population served, and distribution tank capacity of each sector are summarized below.

| | Area (ha) Served | Population Served (1990) | Distributi Capacity | ion Tank (m ³) |
|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Sector I | 513 | 50,600 | La Cruz La Lisera | (2,500) (200) |
| Sector II | 727 | 64,200 | Chuño | (5,000) |
| Sector III | 365 | 37,300 | Saucache | (2,500) |
| Sector IV | 68 | 7,900 | Rosado | (800) |
| Totals | 1,673 | 160,000 | | *********** |

Source: <1>

According to preliminary census results, the total population had increased to 169,217 by 1992. ESSAT estimates that 99% of the 1992 population was served by the water system.

Sector I is covered by the La Cruz and La Lisera distribution tanks. Most of the area is served by the La Cruz tank, and a small part of the southern portion is served by the La Lisera tank. Water for Sector I and Sector IV is supplied from the wells located in the city. Water for the Sector II and Sector III is provided from the wells located in the Azapa Valley.

A sketch of the existing water supply system is shown in Fig. C.1.1

1.1.2 Water Source and Water Rights

The municipal water supply sources, as of November 1992, consisted of 28 wells located in the city area and Azapa Valley. The location, number of wells, capacity and ownership are summarized as follows:

| Location | Number of Wells | Total Capacity (l/sec) | Ownership |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| City Area | 12 | 243 | ESSAT |
| Azapa Valley (1) (2) | 13 3 | 186 74 | ESSAT Rental |
| Total | 28 | 503 | |

Source: ESSAT, as of Nov. 1992

The capacity of each well is shown in Table C.1.1.

At the beginning of 1994, ESSAT was operating a total of 45 wells, including newly drilled or rented 17 wells. The total installed pumping capacity of the 45 wells was 730 l/sec. The location of the wells are shown in Fig. C.1.1.

ESSAT has 4 legally authorized water rights for groundwater extraction in the city area and Azapa Valley. These are summarized as follows.

| (1) | 83 l/s | in the city area |
|-----|--------|------------------|
| (2) | 30 l/s | in the city area |
| (3) | 30 l/s | in Azapa Valley |
| (4) | 20 l/s | in Azapa Valley |
| | | |

Total 463 l/s

Moreover, they rent water rights from farmers and have no customary water rights.

All legally authorized and customary water rights in the city area and Azapa Valley are listed in Appendix C.1 and Appendix C.2.

1.1.3 Water Production and Consumption

In 1990, ESSAT produced $17,292 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ of municipal water, of which $10,655 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3$ was consumed for residential, commercial, industrial and public uses. The estimated water loss including water leakage and uninvoiced water use was $6,637 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, corresponding to 38.4% of the production volume.

The 1990 consumption by category, and total estimated production are summarized below.

| | Quantity (10 ³ m ³) 1990 | % |
|-------------|--|---------|
| Production | 17,292.0 | |
| Consumption | 10,655.0 | 100.0 |
| Residential | 7,331.0 | 68.8 |
| Commercial | 795.5 | 7.5 |
| Industrial | 660.0 | 6.2 |
| Public | 1,868.5 | 17.5 |
| Loss | 6,637.0 | (38.4)* |

* Percentage of Production

Source: ESSAT

The monthly water production and consumption by category in 1990 are shown in Table C.1.2.

In 1991 there was a reclassification of consumers which resulted in significant changes in the amounts consumed by certain categories in subsequent years. The "Public" category in 1990 included public buildings and public standpipes. However, in 1991 public buildings were reclassified and included in the Residential Category. The "Other" category then included only public standpipes.

The water production, consumption by purpose, and losses in 1992 are summarized as follows:

| | 1992 Quantity (10 ³ m ³) | % |
|-------------|--|---------|
| Production | 16,940.7 | |
| Consumption | 10,635.2 | 100.0 |
| Residential | 8,170.8 | 76.8 |
| Commercial | 1,087.3 | 10.2 |
| Industrial | 919.3 | 8.6 |
| Other | 457.8 | 4.3 |
| Losses | 6,305.5 | (37.2)* |
| | | |

* Percentage of Production

Residential Consumption Basis

The water losses in the above tables consist of physical and commercial losses. The physical loss is the water leakage from the water transmission lines and distribution networks. The commercial loss is the unbilled water consumption which occurs mostly in the residential category as the result of meters in poor conditions and illegal connections.

The per capita water production and consumption in 1990 and 1992 are estimated as follows.

| Per Capita Water Use Liters/person/day | |
|---|---------------------------|
| 1990 | <u>1992</u> |
| 299 | 277 |
| 184 | 174 |
| | <u>1990</u> 299 184 |

127

134

Due to the condition of existing meters and the lack of comprehensive leak detection studies, it is not possible to accurately estimate how much of the total losses are physical losses from the transmission mains and distribution networks, and how much is due to other factors. However, based on experience with similar systems, it is estimated that about 25% of production is lost as leakage. The remaining portion is discharged to the sea by the sewerage system or evaporates. The entire city area is served by a sewerage system and all sewage is discharged offshore through a sewer outfall.

The real yearly municipal water consumption in 1992 is estimated at $14,823 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ by assuming that 25 % of the production is lost to

leakage, and that one half of this (12.5 %) infiltrates into the ground to recharge the groundwater.

1.1.4 Water Restrictions

Due to the shortage of water in Arica city, water supply in the year 1993 was limited to 10.5-15.0 hours per day, during the mornings, afternoons and evenings. The water supply service for different areas varied depending on the condition of the water distribution system. The normal water supply hours, by sector, are shown below.

| | Supply Time | Supply Time | Hours/day |
|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Sector I | 6:30-13:00 | 15:30-24:00 | 15.0 hours |
| Sector II | 6:30-12:00 | 15:30-20:30 | 10.5 hours |
| Sector III | 6:30-12:00 | 15:30-24:00 | 14.0 hours |
| Sector IV | 6:30-14:00 | 17:00-20:00 | 10.5 hours |

The above water supply restrictions were relaxed after the completion of the emergency water supply project of ESSAT. The normal water supply hours by sector, as of January 1994, are as follows.

| | Supply Time | Supply Time | Hours/day |
|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|
| Sector I | | ***** | 24.0 hours |
| Sector II | 6:00-13:30 | 15:30-23:30 | 14.5 hours |
| Sector III | 6:30-12:30 | 16:00-24:00 | 14.0 hours |
| Sector IV | | | 24.0 hours |

1.2 Future Water Demand

1.2.1 Projected Populations

Census population data is available for the period 1940-1992, as follows:

| CENSUS | POPULATION |
|---------------|------------|
| 1940 | 14,064 |
| 1952 | 18,847 |
| 1960 | 43,344 |
| 1 9 70 | 87,795 |
| 1982 | 139,628 |
| 1992 | 169,212* |

* Preliminary Results of 1992 Census

Unfortunately the final 1992 Census results, including the latest demographic data, are not available.

In this report, several methods, corresponding to different growth scenarios, were used for projecting the future populations of Arica:

- 1. Linear growth (straight line), based on 1982-92 census data
- 2. Exponential growth based on 1970-92 census data
- 3. Exponential growth based on 1982-92 Region I growth rate.

Growth rates prior to 1970 are of interest historically, but are not considered relevant to future projections.

As can be seen in the attached Figure C.1.2, an exponential growth rate based on 1970-92 census data for Arica, results in a 2020 population projection of almost 400,000, whereas a lineal growth rate based on the 1982-1992 census data results in a 2020 population of only 250,000.

The population growth of Arica city will depend on its economical developments in the future. Both central and local governments are enforcing various policies for the recovery of the existing depressed economy of the city. Therefore, the population growth in the future is assumed to be linear growth until 2000 and exponential growth of Region I after 2000.

The results are summarized as follows:

| 1995 | 178,087 |
|------|---------|
| 2000 | 192,879 |
| 2005 | 214,524 |
| 2010 | 238,599 |
| 2015 | 265,375 |
| 2020 | 295,157 |
| | |

1.2.2 Per Capita Water Consumption

Recent per capita water demands for Arica were presented in Section 1.1.3. However, due to the existing water restrictions, adjustments were

made to obtain the appropriate per capita demands for future conditions when a 24 hour unrestricted water supply is desired.

Based on data from a 1991 report by B&S Ingenieros y Consultores <1> and recent data available from the ESSAT Planning Department, the adjusted per capita consumption, including commercial, industrial and other uses, is estimated at 220 l/c/d in 1992. It is assumed that the ratio of residential to other uses (commercial, industrial and "other") will remain relatively constant, and that future per capita consumption will increase as the standard of living improves and the uses of potable water expand. This increase is estimated at 0.3% per year. Projected water consumption and production were estimated based on the above population projections, per capita demands, and other assumptions.

1.2.3 Future Water Demand

1) Future Water Consumption

Total future consumption in Arica is calculated based on the population projections and estimated per capita consumption, as shown in the following table:

| | Population | Per Capita | Projecto | ed |
|------|------------|--------------------|----------|---------|
| Year | Served | Consumption | Consump | tion |
| | | (1/c/d) | (m3/day) | (l/sec) |
| 1995 | 178,087 | 221.99 | 39,533.5 | 457.6 |
| 2000 | 192,879 | 225.34 | 43,463.4 | 503.0 |
| 2005 | 214,524 | 228.74 | 49,070.2 | 567.9 |
| 2010 | 238,599 | 232.19 | 55,400.3 | 641.2 |
| 2015 | 265,375 | 235.69 | 62,546.2 | 723.9 |
| 2020 | 295,157 | 239.25 | 70,616.3 | 817.3 |

The above consumption figures do not include water for the tourism development in the Chinchorro area, as discussed in Section 1.4. It was considered that, even without the tourism development program, some urbanization would take place in the Chinchorro area, and the population of such urbanization would be included in the population projections presented in Section 1.2.1. As discussed in Section 1.4, it is therefore considered appropriate to add, for the tourism development, an average consumption of 10 l/sec in 1995, and that this would gradually increase to about 50 l/sec in the year 2020.

| | Consumption | Allowance | Total |
|------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Year | w/o Tourism | For Tourism* | Consumption |
| | (l/sec) | (l/sec) | (l/sec) |
| 1995 | 457.6 | 10 | 467.6 |
| 2000 | 503.0 | 15 | 518.0 |
| 2005 | 567.9 | 20 | 587.9 |
| 2010 | 641.2 | 30 | 671.2 |
| 2015 | 723.9 | 40 | 763.9 |
| 2020 | 817.3 | 50 | 867.3 |
| | * CORDESERVIL | J (Chinchorro) | |

Projections which include the allowance for tourism are as follows:

2) Projected Production

ESSAT is initiating a leakage control program and efforts to reduce unaccounted-for water. These programs include the use of leak detection equipment, gradual replacement of residential meters, and efforts to reduce the number of illegal connections. It is therefore estimated that the total leakage, as a percentage of total production, will gradually decrease from almost 40% at present, to 30% by the year 2005. Future losses, as a percentage of total production, and the projected production are estimated as follows:

| | Total | Losses | Total |
|------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|
| Year | Consumption | % of Production | Production |
| | (l/sec) | | (l/sec) |
| 1995 | 467.6 | 40 | 779.3 |
| 2000 | 518.0 | 35 | 796.9 |
| 2005 | 587.9 | 30 | 839.9 |
| 2010 | 671.2 | 30 | 958.9 |
| 2015 | 763.9 | 30 | 1,091.3 |
| 2020 | 867.3 | 30 | 1,239.0 |

The above projections of water production are based on the assumption that adequate production capacity will be provided as required, even though this is optimistic for the year 1995. It is further noted that these projections are very sensitive to the assumption regarding unaccountedfor water. For example, if unaccounted-for water could be reduced to 20% of production in the year 2020, the production requirements would be reduced to 1,084 l/sec, a reduction of 155 l/sec.

These projections are depicted in Figure C.1.3. It should be kept in mind that these are average day production requirements, and that the water

production facilities should be designed for the maximum day requirements. For the maximum day requirement, see Supporting Report D.

1.2.4 Real Water Consumption

The real municipal water consumption for Arica in the years 2015 and 2020 are estimated at an average of 982.2 l/sec and 1,115.1 l/sec respectively, by assuming that 20% of the production will be lost to leakage, and that one half of this (10%) will infiltrate into the ground to recharge groundwater.

1.3 Water for Industrial Use

The industrial park "Parque Chacalluta" is now in the initial phases of development about 16 kilometers to the northwest of Arica; refer to Figure C.1.4. The park is being developed by ZOFRI (Zona Franca de Iquique), a joint venture of CORFO and private enterprise. About 10 industries are now under construction. Recent projections by ZOFRI indicate that the ultimate development will consist of about 60 industries on 130 hectares.

Water consumption was estimated by ZOFRI, based on an estimated total population working at the park of 5,290 people and a unit consumption of 250 l/day. The resulting future demand was estimated at 15.3 l/sec. This projection does not contemplate the watering of green areas or that required for possible water intensive industries.

Water for the development will be completely supplied by groundwater in the immediate vicinity of the industrial park. Current water sources and those to be constructed in the near future, are as follows:

| Existing | Well #1: | 20 l/sec |
|----------|----------|--------------------------------------|
| Planned | Well #2: | 30-40 l/sec (test drilling complete) |

Construction of Well #2 started in late 1993.

The existing water quality for well #1 is somewhat saline with initial values for several parameter exceeding permissible limits for potable water. However, the quality is reportedly improving as pumping continues. Test well results indicate that Well #2 is of potable water quality.

Studies by Consultants for the industrial park indicate that sufficient groundwater is available in the vicinity of the park, for ultimate development. Additional wells, treatment and/or recycling of the water may be required or desirable depending on the results of Well #2 development and the specific types of industries which locate at the industrial park.

Source: ZOFRI (Zona Franca de Iquique)

1.4 Water for Tourism Development

Tourism development is being planned for the area known as "Bajos del Chinchorro", along the coast in the northwest part of Arica city; see Figure C.1.1. The development contemplates residential areas, hotels and recreational facilities. The first phase, to be initiated in 1994, consists of 17.5 ha of urban development located on a site with a total area of 90 ha including recreational areas. The population of the first phase is estimated at 6,000. Using a per capita water demand of 350 l/day, the projected average water demand for this phase is $24.3 \text{ l/sec} (2,100 \text{ m}^3/\text{day})$.

Depending on the success of the initial phase, preliminary medium to long range planning (5-20 years) contemplates future developments with a total area of several hundred hectares and a total water demand of up about 100 l/sec (8640 m³/day).

It is assumed that some urbanization would take place in the Chinchorro area, even without this tourism development, and would result in a population equivalent of about one half of that with the tourism program. The water requirements of the additional population resulting from the tourism development is therefore considered to be about half of the calculated amounts.

It is planned to serve this development from the Arica city water system, as it is expanded and improved. Future water demand is therefore considered along with that for Arica City in Section 1.2.

Source: CORDESERVIU (CORDENOR and SERVIU)

REFERENCES

<1. Análisis Programa de Desarollo de ESSAT, Prefactibilidad B&S Ingenieros Consultores Ltda, Marzo 1992.

Table C.1.1.Existing Well Capacity of Arica Municipal Water Supply
<Capacidad de Pozos Existentes Para el Abastecimiento
de Agua Municipal en Arica>

| City Area | | Azapa Valley | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|----------------|--|
| Name of Well Capacity (l/s) | | Name of Well | Capacity (l/s) | |
| (ESSAT Property) | | (ESSAT Property) | | |
| (A) San José | 20 | (A) No.1472 Recinto U.T.A. | 21 | |
| (B) Liga Empleados | 28 | (B) No.1471 San Miguel | 18 | |
| (C) Tucapel | 28 | (C) No. 3 Pago de Gómez | 17 | |
| (D) Retén Estadio | 24 | (D) No. 2 Pago de Gómez | 13 | |
| (E) Copaja | 22 | (E) No. 1 Pago de Gómez | 18 | |
| (F) 18 de Septiembre | 30 | (F) No. 491 Loteo Algodonal | 8 | |
| (G) Saucache | 27 | (G) No. 492 Planta Azapa | 21 | |
| (H) Los Pinos | 16 | (H) No. 184 Planta Azapa | 18 | |
| (I) Rodoviario | 0 | (I) No. 48 Planta Azapa | 12 | |
| (J) Estadio | 3 | (J) No. 434 Planta Azapa | 12 | |
| (K) Mejidos Fabres | 23 | (K) No. 47 Planta Azapa | 10 | |
| (L) Nueva Esperanza | 22 | (L) No. 491 Planta Azapa | 12 | |
| Total | 243 | (M) Las Mitas | 18 | |
| | | Total | 186 | |
| | | (Rental) | | |
| | | (N) No. 1 San Miguel | 41 | |
| | | (O) Ordóñez | 23 | |
| | | (P) Fernández | 10 | |
| | | Total | 74 | |

Data Source : ESSAT (as of Nov. 1992)

Note : (Rental) : Wells rented from farmers.

Table C.1.2. Existing Municipal Water Production and Consumption of Arica City (1990).

<Producción y Consumo de Agua Municipal Existente en Arica (1990)>

| Month | Production | Consumption | | | | | |
|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|--|
| | _ | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Public | Total | |
| Jan. | 1,438.7 | 652.8 | 67.1 | 48.3 | 135.9 | 904.2 | |
| Feb. | 1,432.6 | 646.9 | 70.1 | 50.1 | 124.8 | 891.8 | |
| Mar. | 1,523.7 | 632.5 | 68.5 | 57.3 | 131.9 | 890.2 | |
| Apr. | 1,491.1 | 620.7 | 64.5 | 56.8 | 137.8 | 879.8 | |
| May | 1,474.2 | 625.5 | 67.5 | 58.7 | 177.1 | 928.9 | |
| Jun. | 1,505.2 | 599.1 | 60.7 | 45.0 | 162.5 | 867.4 | |
| Jul. | 1,454.4 | 591.3 | 62.5 | 54.9 | 156.3 | 865.1 | |
| Aug. | 1,476.3 | 579.8 | 62.5 | 59.6 | 156.4 | 858.4 | |
| Sep. | 1,354.8 | 580.7 | 60.6 | 55.7 | 166.8 | 863.8 | |
| Oct. | 1,376.9 | 593.2 | 68.5 | 47.2 | 162.4 | 871.4 | |
| Nov. | 1,351.9 | 603.3 | 67.0 | 62.4 | 163.4 | 896.0 | |
| Dec. | 1,412.2 | 605.0 | 76.0 | 64.0 | 193.1 | 938.1 | |
| Total | 17,292.0 | 7,331.0 | 795.5 | 660.0 | 1,868.5 | 10,655.0 | |
| Percentage | | 68.8% | 7.5% | 6.2% | 17.5% | 100% | |

(Unit:10³m³/month)

Data Source : <1>



I - 14







Chapter II. IRRIGATION AND OTHER WATER USES IN AZAPA VALLEY

2.1. Existing Irrigated Areas

2.1.1. Irrigation Sectors

The total cultivated area in the Azapa Valley is estimated to be 3,213 ha. The entire cultivated area is irrigated by river water, spring water and groundwater.

The cultivated area of Azapa Valley has increased considerably during recent years. The historical changes are shown below.

1975 : 2,053 ha. 1) 1984 : 2,319 ha. 1) 1989 : 2,522 ha. 1) 1993 : 3,213 ha. 2) Source: 1) <1>) 2) : SAG, Region I.

The existing cultivated area of 3,213 ha. is divided into 27 irrigation sectors. Of these, 12 sectors, located in the upper reaches (Bocatoma-Cabuza), are irrigated by surface water from the Azapa Canal. Another 10 irrigation sectors, located in the lower reaches (Cabuza-Saucache), are irrigated by surface water of Azapa Canal, supplemented by groundwater. The remaining 5 irrigation sectors, located in the lower reaches (Cabuza-Saucache), are Saucache), are irrigated by spring water supplemented by groundwater.

The above irrigation sectors are listed as follows:

- 1). Upper Reaches: Irrigated by Azapa Canal water.
 - (a) Comunidad Andina Area.
 Bocatoma, Surire, Ticnamar-Belen, La Cruz, Camina, Hijos de Livicar.
 - (b) Sobraya/Casa Grande Area.

Livicar, 18 de Septiembre, Sobraya Norte, Sobraya Sur, Cerro Blanco, Cabuza.

2). Lower Reaches (A) (Chuval/Saucache Area) :Irrigated by Azapa Canal water with supplementary groundwater.

Santa Irene Sur, Santa Irene Norte, Cerro Moreno, San Miguel, Las Riveras, Alto Ramírez Sur, Alto Ramírez Norte, Cerro Sombrero, Pago de Gómez Norte, Pago de Gómez Sur.

3). Lower Reaches (B) (Chuval/Saucache Area) :Irrigated by spring water with supplementary groundwater

Juan Noé, Foccaci, Las Maitas, Media Luna, Mita Chica.

The locations of the above irrigation sectors are shown in Fig. C.2.1.

2.1.2 Irrigated Areas

1) Cultivation Areas

In Azapa Valley, such crops as olives, tomatoes, grape fruit, tropical fruit, green beans, green vegetables, flowers and alfalfa are cultivated. The crops are all irrigated by conventional or drip irrigation methods.

The existing cultivation areas by crop type and by irrigation method are summarized as follows.

| Сгор Туре | Conventional Irrigation (ha) | Drip Irrigation (ha) | Total (ha) |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Fruit (olive, tomato, other fruits) | 1,166 | 528 | 1,694 |
| Vegetables (green beans, | 640 | 753 | 1,393 |
| Pasture (alfalfa) | 126 | - | 126 |
| Total | 1,932 | 1,281 | 3,213 |

Note : Flowers are classified as vegetable for convenience in the estimation of irrigation water demand.

Cultivation areas by crop and by irrigation method are detailed in Table C.2.1.

2) Cropping Pattern

The aforementioned total cultivation areas are not constantly cropped throughout the year. According to the information of SAG, the actual crop areas are as follows.

- (1) Fruit
 - Olives : The whole cultivation area (959 ha) are cropped throughout the year.
 - Tomatoes : 30% of the total cultivation areas (158 ha) are cropped twice a year. The remaining 70% areas (367 ha) are cropped once a year. One cropping period is four (4) months.
 - Other Fruits : The whole cultivation area (210 ha) are cropped throughout the year.

(2) Vegetables

- Green Beans : 30% of the total cultivation areas (42 ha) are cropped twice a year. The remaining 70% areas (97 ha) are cropped once a year. One cropping period is four (4) months.
- Green Vegetables : 30% of the total cultivation areas (362 ha) are cropped twice a year. The remaining 70% areas (844 ha) are cropped once a year. One cropping period is four (4) months.
- Flowers : 30% of the total cultivation areas (14 ha) are cropped twice a year. The remaining 70% areas (34 ha) are cropped once a year. One cropping period is four (4) months.

- (3) Pasture
 - Alfalfa : The whole cultivation area (126 ha) are cropped throughout the year.
- 3) Actual Irrigated Areas

The perennial crops of olives, other fruits and alfalfas are constantly raised for the total cultivation areas throughout the year. However, the actual crop areas of such annual crops as tomatoes, green beans, green vegetables and flowers seasonally change.

According to the previous report by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores, the actual crop areas of the annual crops during the period of November to February are considered very small, compared to those during the other periods of the year due to the marketing limitations.

In this report, therefore, it is assumed that cropping of tomatoes, green beans, green vegetables and flowers concentrate only during the eight (8) months of March to October of the year and their monthly crop areas are uniformly distributed during the eight (8) months. Furthermore, the actual crop areas are constantly irrigated.

The actual monthly irrigated areas by crop type and by irrigation method are estimated based on the above assumptions as follows.

| Сгор Туре | Conventional Irri. (ha) | | Drip Irri. (ha) | | Total | |
|------------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | MarOct. | NovFeb. | MarOct. | NovFeb. | MarOct. | NovFeb. |
| Fruit | 1,143 | 1,101 | 367 | 68 | 1,510 | 1,169 |
| Vegetables | 416 | - | 490 | - | 906 | - |
| Pasture | 126 | 126 | | - | 126 | 126 |
| Total | 1,685 | 1,227 | 857 | 68 | 2,542 | 1,295 |

For details, see Table C.2.2.

- 2.2. Existing Irrigation Water Use
 - 2.2.1. Existing Water Demand
 - 1) Unit Water Demand

Irrigation water demand per hectare varies mainly according to climate, type of crop and irrigation method. It is estimated by crop type and irrigation method based on the monthly potential evapotranspiration of the Azapa Valley.

The unit irrigation water demand of Azapa Valley was studied in detail by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores in 1989 <1>, as described below.

 The potential evapotranspiration of Azapa Valley was first estimated from the observed pan evaporation as follows.

> ETo = Kb x E where, ETo : Potential evapotranspiration Kb : Coefficient E : Pan evaporation

In Azapa Valley, monthly average pan evaporation varies from 3.6 mm/day in July to 7.9 mm/day in January and February with an average of 5.73 mm/day. Monthly average relative humidity is in the range of 66% in December and 78% in July, averaging 71.8%. Wind velocity is low. The coefficient Kb was assumed to be 0.85.

As a result, yearly potential evapotranspiration was estimated to be 1,776.5 mm/year.

Monthly potential evapotranspiration are shown in Table C.2.3.

(2) The actual evapotranspiration of the crops was then estimated by multiplying the above potential evapotranspiration by another coefficient as follows.

> ET = Kc x ETo where.

- ET: Actual evapotranspiration of crop
- Kc: Coefficient varying according to kind of crop

ETo: Potential evapotranspiration.

Kc was assumed at 0.625-0.725 for fruit, represented by the olive, 0.65 for vegetables and 0.80-0.95 for pasture (alfalfa) based on previous research and studies.

As a result, the actual yearly evapotranspiration of fruit, vegetables and pasture were estimated as follows.

| Fruit | : 1,236.8 mm/year |
|------------|-------------------|
| Vegetables | : 1,154.7 mm/year |
| Pasture | : 1,593.1 mm/year |

Monthly evapotranspiration values by crop are shown in Table C.2.4.

(3) Finally, unit irrigation water demand by crop and irrigation method was estimated by dividing the above actual evapotranspiration by the irrigation efficiency.

The irrigation efficiency varies according to the kind of crop and irrigation method.

 Based on the interview survey with the farmers in Azapa Valley, and various studies and research, the irrigation efficiencies of conventional irrigation methods were assumed as follows:

| Fruit | : 60% |
|------------|-------|
| Vegetables | : 45% |
| Pasture | : 60% |

- (ii) The efficiency of drip irrigation for fruit was assumed at 95%, based on the previous studies and research.
- (iii) The efficiency of drip irrigation for vegetable was estimated at 75%, based on the actual irrigated water volume in Azapa Valley.

As a result, yearly unit irrigation water demands by crop and irrigation method were estimated as follows.

| Conventional Irrigation | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Fruit | ; | 20,612m ³ /ha/year (0.654 l/s/ha.) |
| Vegetables | : | 25,659 m ³ /ha/year (0.814 l/s/ha.) |
| Pasture | ; | 26,555 m ³ /ha/year (0.842 l/s/ha.) |
| Drip Irrigation | | |
| Fruit | : | 13,020 m ³ /ha/year (0.413 l/s/ha.) |
| Vegetables | : | 15,395 m ³ /ha/year (0.488 l/s/ha.) |

Monthly unit irrigation water demand by crop and by irrigation method are shown in Table C.2.5.

2) Total Water Demand.

The total irrigation water demand is estimated by multiplying the actual irrigated areas by the above unit irrigation water demand.

The total yearly irrigation water demand of Azapa Valley is estimated to be $40.0 \text{ million } \text{m}^3$ /year, with the following break-down.

| π | Jnits: | 10^{3} | m ³ /v | ear) |
|-----|--------|----------|-------------------|------|
| × - | | | | |

| | Conventional Irrigation | Drip Irrigation | Total |
|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------|
| Fruit | 23,173 | 3,049 | 26,222 |
| Vegetable | 6,121 | 4,324 | 10,445 |
| Pasture | 3,345 | 0 | 3.345 |
| Total | 32,639 | 7,373 | 40,012 |

Monthly irrigation water demands by crop and by irrigation method are shown in Table C.2.6 (1) and Table C.2.6 (2).

2.2.2. Actual Water Extraction

The water sources for irrigation in the Azapa Valley include surface water from the Azapa Canal, spring water and groundwater.

1) Surface Water Extraction of Azapa Canal.

The Azapa Canal began operations in 1962 when the Lauca Canal was completed. The Lauca Canal diverts water from the Lauca River (an international river flowing into Bolivia across the border) to Central Chapiquiña in the upstream portion of the San José River. The diverted water joins the indigeneous water of the San José River and flows down the San José River to the Azapa Canal Intake which is located approximately 40 km upstream from the river mouth (see Fig. C.2.2.).

The maximum design discharge of the Lauca Canal is 1.37 m³/s. However, the actual amount of water diverted fluctuates seasonally, depending on the flow in the Lauca River. The diverted water generates hydro-electric power at the Central Chapiquiña Hydroelectric Power Station, by taking advantage of the 1,008 m. head available, before being discharged into the San José River. The peak design output is 10.2 MW.

The water drawn by the intake of the Azapa Canal is distributed to the 22 irrigation sectors through the main canal, with a length of 42.8 km, and 22 secondary canals with a total length of 62.9 km (see Fig. C.2.2).

The maximum intake capacity of the Azapa Canal is estimated to be 2,000 l/s. The canal is designed to carry the entire flow of the San José River up to 2,000 l/s. Only the river flows in excess of about 2,000 l/s flow over the spillway back to the river.

The monthly average water extraction during the period 1986 -1990 was in the range of 96 -1,090 l/s with an average of 678 l/s.

Flows diverted to the Azapa Canal for the same period are shown in Table C.2.7.

2) Spring Water Extraction

There were originally 17 springs for irrigation water use in the lower reaches of Azapa Valley (downstream from the confluence of Quebrada del Diablo). They are:

La Moria, La Concepción, San Miguel, Dren El 5to., Matavaca, Pejerrey, Chonchalique, Dren Comunidad, Mama Lorenza, El Socavón, Peñablanca, Media Luna, Mita Chica, El Gallito, Ovando, Las Animas, El Estanque. During the period of 1964 to 1986, the DGA observed the flow rate of 15 of the springs, excluding Las Animas and El Estanque. The observed yearly average flow rates are shown in Table C.2.8.

The distribution system of the spring water for irrigation use is shown in Fig. C.2.3.

The maximum flow rate of 616.7 l/s was observed in 1976. Thereafter, spring water flow has gradually decreased according to the draw-down of the groundwater table of the valley. Several springs have dried up. According to the 1989 report of Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores <1>, 14 springs were functioning in 1989, excluding Mama Lorenza, El Gallito and Ovando. The number of functioning springs has further decreased to five in 1993. They are:

San Miguel, Dren El 5to., Pejerrey, Conchalique, Dren Comunidad.

JICA observed the flow rates of these springs in June, 1993. The observed flow rates of each spring are also shown in Table C.2.8. The total observed flow rate is 73.0 l/s, and the existing spring water extraction for irrigation is presumed to be approximately the same.

3) Groundwater Extraction.

In the Azapa Valley, groundwater for irrigation use is extracted from 122 wells as of 1993. The existing groundwater extraction quantity was estimated based on the interview survey. The estimated yearly extraction quantity is $9,536 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3$ /year (302 l/s). For details, see Supporting Report B, B-I, Chapter III.

2.2.3. Real Water Consumption

The yearly irrigation water demand in Azapa Valley was estimated at $40,012 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ (=1,269 l/s) as shown in Section 2.2.1.

However, only a portion is actually consumed. A portion is consumed by the evapotranspiration of crops, and another portion infiltrates into underground. The infiltrated water may be re-used after recharging the groundwater. The irrigation water consumption by the evapotranspiration of crops in Azapa Valley is estimated to be $24,810 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ /year (= 787 l/s). This is considered as the total real irrigation water consumption in Azapa Valley. For details, see Table C.2.9.

2.2.4. Water Rights

Irrigation water is extracted from the river, springs and groundwater based on legally authorized water rights or customary water rights. The number of legally authorized water rights in the Azapa Valley is 22, with a total permitted extraction of 1,038.05 l/s, and the number of customary water rights is 11, including the water rights of the Azapa Canal. The total extraction quantity granted in these water rights is 454.77 l/s plus 2,437.90 shares "acciones".

The water rights by water source are summarized below.

(1) Legally Authorized Water Right.

| Water Source | Number of Water Rights | Permitted Quantity (<u>l/s)</u> |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| River Water | der else | Nin Apr |
| Spring Water | 3 | 250.00 |
| Groundwater | 19 | 788.05 |
| Total | 22 | 1,038.05 |

(2) Customary Water Right.

| Water Source | <u>Number of</u> Water Rights | Permitted Quantity (<u>l/s)</u> |
|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| River Water | 2 | 400 l/s + 2,437.90 acc. |
| Spring Water | | |
| Groundwater | 9 | <u>54.77 l/s</u> |
| Total | 11 | 454.77 1/s+2,437.90 acc. |

For details, see Appendix C.1. and Appendix C.2.
- 2.3. Other Existing Water Use
 - 2.3.1. Existing Water Use.

There are a number of wells extracting groundwater for individual domestic, industrial and miscellaneous uses. Those are 45 wells as of 1993.

The existing groundwater extraction quantity was estimated based on the interview survey. Number of wells and extracting quantity by water use are as follows.

| Water Use | Number of | Extraction Quantity |
|---------------|-----------|---|
| | Wells | |
| Domestic | 30 | 1,366 x 10 ³ m ³ /yr (43 l/s) |
| Industrial | 3 | 126 x 10 ³ m ³ /yr (4 l/s) |
| Miscellaneous | 12 | 202 x 10 ³ m ³ /yr (6 l/s) |
| Total | 45 | 1,694 x 10 ³ m ³ /yr (53 l/s) |

For details, see Supporting Report B, B-I, Chapter III.

A considerable portion of the extracted water is discharged on the land in the Azapa Valley. They will infiltrate into underground, recharging groundwater for reuse. The total real other water consumption is estimated to be $678 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$ (21 l/s) by assuming that 60 % of the extracted water recharges groundwater.

2.3.2. Water Rights

Individual domestic water is mostly extracted without water rights. There are only three legally authorized water rights for individual domestic use.

On the other hand, there are two legally authorized water rights and four custom water rights for industrial use.

The number of water rights and permitted/customary water quantity by water use in Arica city area and Azapa Valley are shown below.

(1) Legally Authorized Water Rights

| Water Use | Number. of Water Rights | Water Source | Permitted Quantity (<u>l/s)</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Individual Domestic | 3 | Groundwate | r 41.1 |
| Industrial | 2 | Groundwate | r 65.0 |
| Total | 5 | | 106.1 |

(2) Customary Water Rights

| Water Use | Number, of Water Rights | Water Source | Permitted Quantity (<u>1/s)</u> |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Individual Domestic | | | |
| Industrial | 4 | Groundwate | r 42.0 |
| Total | 4 | | 42.0 |

For details, see Appendix C.1 and Appendix C.2.

REFERENCES

<1. Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, January 1989, for DGA, by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores.

Table C.2.1Existing Cultivated Area by Crop and by Irrigation Method in
Azapa Valley.
<area Existente de Cultivo por Cosecha y por Método de
Riego en el Valle de Azapa>

| | Con | ventio | nal Irri | gation | | Drip I | rrigati | on | Total |
|--------------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|
| | Zone I | Zone I | Zone III | Sub-Total | Zone I | Zone II | Zone III | Sub-Total | |
| Fruit | | | | | | | | | |
| Olive 5 | 80 | 848 | 933 | | 6 | 20 | 26 | 959 | |
| Tomato | 15 | 50 | | 65 | 50 | 410 | 460 | 525 | |
| Other fruits | 30 | 65 | 73 | 168 | | 8 | 34 | 42 | 210 |
| Sub-Total | 50 | 195 | 921 | 1,166 | 50 | 14 | 464 | 528 | 1,694 |
| Vegetable | | | | | | | | | |
| Green Bean | 5 | 70 | | 75 | 14 | | 50 | 64 | 139 |
| Green Veget. | 48 | 380 | 93 | 526 | | 680 | | 680 | 1206 |
| Flower | 4 | 30 | 5 | 39 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 48 | |
| Sub-Total | 57 | 480 | 103 | 640 | 14 | 684 | 55 | 753 | 1,393 |
| Pasture Alfalfa | 26 | 78 | 22 | 126 | | | | | 126 |
| Total | 133 | 753 | 1,046 | 1,932 | 64 | 698 | 519 | 1,281 | 3,213 |

Data Source: SAG of I Region.

Note: 1) Zone I : Comunidad Andina area.

Zone II : Sobraya/Casa Grande area

Zone III : Chuval/Saucache area

2) Flowers are classified as vegetables for convenience in the estimation of irrigation water demand.

Table C.2.2Existing Monthly Irrigation Area by Crop and by Irrigation
Method in Azapa Valley
<a large state of the segunal existence segunal los Cultivos y Metodo
de Riego en el Valle de Azapa>

| Сгор Туре | Convention | nal Irri. (ha) | Drip I | <u>rri. (ha)</u> | Total (ha) | | |
|--------------|------------|----------------|---------|------------------|------------|---------|--|
| | MarOct. | NovFeb. | MarOct. | NovFeb. | MarOct. | NovFeb. | |
| Fruit | | | | | | | |
| Olive | 933 | 933 | 26 | 26 | 959 | 959 | |
| Tomato | 42 | - | 299 | - | 341 | - | |
| Other Fruits | 168 | 168 | 42 | 42 | 210 | 210 | |
| Sub-Total | 1,143 | 1,101 | 367 | 68 | 1,510 | 1,169 | |
| Vegetables | | | | | | | |
| Green Bean | 49 | - | 42 | - | 91 | - | |
| Green Veget. | 342 | - | 442 | - | 784 | - | |
| Flower | 25 | - | 6 | - | 31 | - | |
| Sub-Total | 416 | - | 490 | - | 906 | - | |
| Pasture | | | | | | | |
| Alfalfa | 126 | 126 | - | - | 126 | 126 | |
| Total | 1,685 | 1,227 | 857 | 68 | 2,542 | 1,295 | |

| Month | Evapo | oration | Relative | Coefficient | Potential Evapotranspiration |
|---------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| | mm/day | mm/month | Humidity(%) | (Kb) | ETo (mm/month) |
| Jan. | 7.9 | 246.0 | 68 | 0.85 | 209.1 |
| Feb. | 7.9 | 221.7 | 68 | 0.85 | 188.5 |
| Mar. | 7.0 | 217.4 | 69 | 0.85 | 184.8 |
| Apr. | 5.5 | 165.5 | 71 | 0.85 | 140.7 |
| May | 4.5 | 139.0 | 74 | 0.85 | 118.2 |
| Jun. | 3.7 | 111.5 | 76 | 0.85 | 94.8 |
| Jul. | 3.6 | 111.6 | 78 | 0.85 | 94.9 |
| Aug. | 4.0 | 122.9 | 76 | 0.85 | 104.5 |
| Sep. | 5.0 | 149.4 | 74 | 0.85 | 127.0 |
| Oct. | 5.8 | 180.9 | 71 | 0.85 | 153.8 |
| Nov. | 6.6 | 198.5 | 70 | 0.85 | 168.8 |
| Dec. | 7.3 | 225.1 | 66 | 0.85 | 191.4 |
| Average | 5.73 | 174.1 | 71.8 | | 148.0 |
| Total | | 2,089.5 | | | 1,776.5 |

| Table C.2.3. | Potential Evapotranspiration of Azapa Valley. |
|--------------|--|
| | < Evapotranspiración Potencial del Valle de Azapa> |

Data Source : Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, Jan. 1989 for DGA by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores.

| Month | Fruit (C | Olive) | | Vege | tables | Pasture | | |
|-------|----------------|--------|--------------|------|--------------|---------|-------------|--|
| | ETo (mm/month) | Kc | ET(mm/month) | Kc I | ET(mm/month) | Kc F | T(mm/month) | |
| Jan. | 209.1 | 0.725 | 151.6 | 0.65 | 135.9 | 0.95 | 198.7 | |
| Feb. | 188.5 | 0.725 | 136.6 | 0.65 | 122.5 | 0.95 | 179.0 | |
| Mar. | 184.8 | 0.725 | 134.0 | 0.65 | 120.1 | 0.94 | 173.7 | |
| Apr. | 140.7 | 0.675 | 95.0 | 0.65 | 91.5 | 0.90 | 126.6 | |
| May. | 118.2 | 0.675 | 79.8 | 0.65 | 76.8 | 0.85 | 100.5 | |
| Jun. | 94.8 | 0.625 | 59.2 | 0.65 | 61.6 | 0.80 | 75.8 | |
| Jul. | 94.9 | 0.625 | 59.3 | 0.65 | 61.7 | 0.80 | 75.9 | |
| Aug. | 104.5 | 0.675 | 70.6 | 0.65 | 67.9 | 0.82 | 85.7 | |
| Sep. | 127.0 | 0.675 | 85.7 | 0.65 | 82.6 | 0.84 | 106.7 | |
| Oct. | 153.8 | 0.675 | 103.8 | 0.65 | 100.0 | 0.88 | 135.3 | |
| Nov. | 168.8 | 0.725 | 122.4 | 0.65 | 109.7 | 0.92 | 155.3 | |
| Dec. | 191,4 | 0.725 | 138.8 | 0.65 | 124.4 | 0.94 | 179.9 | |
| Total | 1,776.5 | | 1,236.8 | | 1,154.7 | | 1,593.1 | |

Table C.2.4. Actual Evapotranspiration by Crop < Evapotranspiración Real por Cultivo>

Source : Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, Jan. 1989 for DGA by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., IngenierosConsultores.

| | | | Conventi | | Drip Irriga | tion | | | | |
|-------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Month | Fruit | | Vegel | ables | Pastu | Pasture | | | Vegetables | |
| | Irrigation | m3/ha/month | Irrigation | m3/ha/month | Irrigation | m3/ha/month | Irrigation | m3/ha/month | Irrigation | m3/ha/month |
| | Efficiency (%) | | Efficiency(% |) | Efficiency(%) | | Efficiency(%) | | Efficiency(%) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jan. | 60 | 2,527 | 45 | 3,020 | 60 | 3,311 | 95 | 1,596 | 75 | 1,812 |
| Feb. | 60 | 2,277 | 45 | 2,722 | 60 | 2,984 | 95 | 1,438 | 75 | 1,633 |
| Mar. | 60 | 2,233 | 45 | 2,669 | 60 | 2,895 | 95 | 1,410 | 75 | 1,601 |
| Apr. | 60 | 1,583 | 45 | 2,033 | 60 | 2,111 | 95 | 1,000 | 75 | 1,220 |
| May | 60 | 1,330 | 45 | 1,707 | 60 | 1,674 | 95 | 840 | 75 | 1.024 |
| Jun. | 60 | 987 | 45 | 1,369 | 60 | 1,264 | 95 | 624 | 75 | 821 |
| Jul. | 60 | 988 | 45 | 1,371 | 60 | 1,265 | 95 | 624 | 75 | 822 |
| Aug. | 60 | 1,176 | 45 | 1,510 | 60 | 1,429 | 95 | 743 | 75 | 906 |
| Sep. | 60 | 1,429 | 45 | 1835 | 60 | 1,779 | 95 | 903 | 75 | 1,101 |
| Oct. | 60 | 1,730 | 45 | 2,221 | 60 | 2,256 | 95 | 1.093 | 75 | 1,333 |
| Nov. | 60 | 2,039 | 45 | 2,438 | 60 | 2,588 | 95 | 1,288 | 75 | 1,463 |
| Dec. | 60 | 2,313 | 45 | 2,764 | 60 | 2,999 | 95 | 1,461 | 75 | 1.659 |
| Total | | 20,612 | | 25,659 | | 26,555 | | 13,020 | | 15,395 |

Table C.2.5. Unit Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method <Demanda Unitaria de Agua para Irrigación por Cultivo y por Método de Riego>

Data Source : Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, Jan. 1989 for DGA by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores.

•

| | | | Con | ventional In | rigation | | | | | |
|-------|-------------|------------|---------|--------------|------------|---------|-------------|------------|---------|---------|
| Month | | Fruit | | | Vegetables | | | Total | | |
| | Cultivation | Unit Water | Water | Cultivation | Unit Water | Water | Cultivation | Unit Water | Water | |
| | Area | Demand | Demand | Area | Demand | Demand | Area | Demand | Demand | |
| | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo) | (103m3) | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo) | (103m3) | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo) | (103m3) | (103m3) |
| Jan. | 1,101 | 2,527 | 2,782 | | 3,020 | 0 | 126 | 3,311 | 417 | 3,199 |
| Feb. | 1,101 | 2,277 | 2,507 | | 2,722 | 0 | 126 | 2,984 | 376 | 2,883 |
| Mar. | 1,143 | 2,233 | 2,552 | 416 | 2,669 | 1,110 | 126 | 2,895 | 365 | 4,027 |
| Apr. | 1,143 | 1,583 | 1,809 | 416 | 2,033 | 846 | 126 | 2,111 | 266 | 2,921 |
| May. | 1,143 | 1,330 | 1,520 | 416 | 1,707 | 710 | 126 | 1,674 | 211 | 2,441 |
| Jun. | 1,143 | 987 | 1,128 | 416 | 1,369 | 570 | 126 | 1,264 | 159 | 1,857 |
| Jul. | 1,143 | 988 | 1,129 | 416 | 1,371 | 570 | 126 | 1,265 | 159 | 1,858 |
| Aug. | 1,143 | 1,176 | 1,344 | 416 | 1,510 | 628 | 126 | 1,429 | 180 | 2,152 |
| Sep. | 1,143 | 1,429 | 1,633 | 416 | 1,835 | 763 | 126 | 1,779 | 224 | 2,620 |
| Oct. | 1,143 | 1,730 | 1,977 | 416 | 2,221 | 924 | 126 | 2,256 | 284 | 3,185 |
| Nov. | 1,101 | 2,039 | 2,245 | | 2,438 | 0 | 126 | 2,588 | 326 | 2,571 |
| Dec. | 1,101 | 2,313 | 2,547 | | 2,764 | 0 | 126 | 2,999 | 378 | 2,925 |
| Total | | | 23,173 | | | 6,121 | | | 3,345 | 32,639 |

 Table C.2.6 (1)
 Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method.

 < Demanda Existente de Agua para Riego por Cultivo y por Método de Riego>

| Table C.2.6 (2) | Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method < Demanda Existente de Agua para Riego por Cultivo y por Método de Riego> |
|-----------------|---|
| Table C.2.6 (2) | Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and by Irrigation Method <demanda agua="" cultivo="" de="" existente="" método="" para="" por="" riego="" y=""></demanda> |

| | | | | | Drip Irrigatio | n | | | | |
|-------|------------|------------|---------|------------|----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| Month | | Fruit | | | Vegetables | | | Total | | |
| | Irrigation | Unit Water | Water | Irrigation | Unit Water | Water | Irrigation | Unit Water | Water | |
| | Area | Demand | Demand | Area | Demand | Demand | Area | Demand | Demand | |
| | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo) | (103m3) | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo) | (1 0 3m3) | (ha) | (m3/ha/mo.) | (103m3) | (103m3) |
| Jan. | 68 | 1,596 | 109 | | 1,812 | 0 | | | | 109 |
| Feb. | 68 | 1,438 | 98 | | 1,633 | 0 | | | | 98 |
| Mar. | 367 | 1,410 | 517 | 490 | 1,601 | 784 | | | | 1,301 |
| Apr. | 367 | 1,000 | 367 | 490 | 1,220 | 598 | | | | 965 |
| May. | 367 | 840 | 308 | 490 | 1,024 | 502 | | | | 810 |
| Jun. | 367 | 624 | 229 | 490 | 821 | 402 | | | | 631 |
| Jul. | 367 | 624 | 229 | 490 | 822 | 403 | | | | 632 |
| Aug. | 367 | 743 | 273 | 490 | 906 | 443 | | | | 716 |
| Sep. | 367 | 903 | 331 | 490 | 1,101 | 539 | | | | 870 |
| Oct. | 367 | 1,093 | 401 | 490 | 1,333 | 653 | | | | 1,054 |
| Nov. | 68 | 1,288 | 88 | | 1,463 | 0 | | | | 88 |
| Dec. | 68 | 1,461 | 99 | | 1,659 | 0 | | | | 99 |
| Total | | | 3,049 | | | 4,324 | | | | 7,373 |

Table C.2.7Flow in Azapa Canal1986 - 1990<Caudal de Bocatoma del Canal Azapa Durante</td>1986 - 1990>

(Unit: l/s)

| Year | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | Jun. | Jul. | Aug | Sep | . Oc | . No | v. Dec | . AVG |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 | 827 412 815 815 513 | 217 833 632 820 551 | 401 1,010 1,000 923 503 | 665 1,030 947 955 453 | 483 1,090 901 900 472 | 332 918 855 844 552 | 741 910 785 842 500 | 340 280 775 825 450 | 723 096 777 817 420 | 867 254 312 736 434 | 942 916 920 514 418 | 1,000 903 777 343 416 | 628 721 791 778 474 |
| AVG | 676 | 611 | 767 | 810 | 769 | 700 | 756 | 534 | 567 | 521 | 742 | 688 | 678 |

Data Source: D.G.A.

Table C.2.8 Yearly Average Flow Rate of Springs <Flujo Promedio Anual de Vertientes>

| | La Moria | La | San Miguel | Dren | Canal | | Included in | Canal Alban | racines | Mama | El | Peñablanca | Media Luna | Mita Chica | El Gallito | Ovando | Total |
|------|----------|------------|------------|---------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|------------|------------|------------|--------|--------|
| Year | | Concepción | | el Sto. | Albarracines | Matavaca | Рејепеу | Conchaligue | Dren | Lorenza | Socavón | | | | | | 10141 |
| | | | | | | | | | Comunidad | | | | | | | | |
| 1964 | | 18.10 | 108.60 | | 57.40 | | | | | | | | 6.20 | 9.80 | 17.30 | | 217.40 |
| 1965 | | 9.60 | 67.00 | | 39.70 | | | | | | | | 3.20 | 12.30 | 17.60 | | 149.40 |
| 1966 | | 4.60 | 37.10 | | 31.90 | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 2.20 | 5.30 | | 81.10 |
| 1967 | | 4.00 | 46.40 | | 43.60 | | | | | | | | 0.00 | 6.60 | 2.70 | | 103.30 |
| 1968 | | 11.90 | 84.00 | | 57.20 | | | | | | | | 15.60 | 23.80 | 12.40 | | 204.90 |
| 1969 | | 12.00 | 88.30 | | 48.00 | | | | | | | | 38.00 | 37.30 | 28.80 | | 252.40 |
| 1970 | | 6.40 | 50.00 | | 35.30 | | | | | | | | 10.80 | 28.10 | 28.20 | | 158.80 |
| 1971 | | 0.90 | 15.10 | | 31.30 | | | | | | | 11.10 | 10.80 | 20.50 | 21.70 | | 111.40 |
| 1972 | | 7.80 | 35.60 | | 32.10 | | | | | | | 44.50 | 39.80 | 39.00 | 33.60 | | 232.40 |
| 1973 | | 32.80 | 71.90 | | 45.40 | | | | | | | 71.10 | 66.00 | 57.00 | 10.70 | | 354.90 |
| 1974 | | 61.00 | 106.10 | | 60.30 | | | | | 1.30 | 2.30 | 88.00 | 83.30 | 67.00 | | | 469.30 |
| 1975 | | 74.80 | 131.80 | | 75.00 | 1.20 | | | | 6.30 | 19.30 | 92.30 | 90.70 | 70.30 | - | 8.80 | 569.30 |
| 1976 | 9.00 | 77.30 | 145.90 | | 88.20 | 10.70 | 0.10 | 10.30 | | 8.00 | 27.70 | 87.30 | 88.60 | 69.50 | - | 15.20 | 616.70 |
| 1977 | 13.60 | 70.60 | 153.60 | | 100.50 | 9.40 | 7.40 | 13.30 | | 6.20 | 30.00 | 78.60 | 81.90 | 66.90 | - | 8.30 | 610.20 |
| 1978 | 9.20 | 61.90 | 157.60 | | 111.40 | 8.30 | 15.90 | 15.50 | | 1.00 | 25.80 | 67.60 | 72.10 | 60.60 | - | 0.20 | 567.40 |
| 1979 | 10.00 | 51.40 | 153.50 | | 120.80 | 17.60 | 19.70 | 15.90 | | - | 15.20 | 54.70 | 59.30 | 58.90 | - | - | 523.80 |
| 1980 | 5.10 | 32.70 | 118.80 | | 104.20 | 15.50 | 17.80 | 14.60 | | - | 5.80 | 20.80 | 36.20 | 44.10 | - | | 368.00 |
| 1981 | 4.40 | 13.60 | 78.10 | | 66.60 | 10.80 | 11.80 | 12.00 | | - | 2.50 | 0.00 | 8.40 | 18.10 | | - | 191.70 |
| 1982 | 1.40 | 4.10 | 38.80 | | 40.60 | 7.10 | 10.20 | 9.40 | | - | 4.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | - | | 90.20 |
| 1983 | 0.30 | 1.30 | 13.20 | | 35.40 | 4.20 | 5.90 | 8.50 | 18.30 | - | 1.30 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | 51.50 |
| 1984 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 23.60 | 5.80 | 41.90 | 2.40 | 2.90 | 8.30 | 32.20 | - | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | - | - | 72.80 |
| 1985 | 0.00 | 14.30 | 71.90 | 9.50 | 58.30 | 1.40 | 9.10 | 10.30 | 30.40 | - | 4.80 | 1.10 | 0.00 | 3.90 | - | - + | 163.80 |
| 1986 | 0.00 | 35.30 | 121.90 | 10.80 | 85.10 | 3.30 | 12.90 | 12.30 | 74.20 | - | 10.70 | 26.40 | 0.90 | 20,00 | | - | 311.10 |
| 1993 | - | - | 39.00 | 4.00 | 30.00 | - | 3.00 | 9.00 | 18.00 | - | - | - | - | | - | - | 73.00 |

Data Source: Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, Jan 1989 for DGA by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores.

| Table C.2.9 | Existing Irrigation Water Consumption by Evaporation |
|-------------|--|
| | <consumo agua="" de="" evapotranspiración="" existente="" para="" por="" riego=""></consumo> |

| | | Fruit | | | Vegetables | | | Pasture | | Total |
|-------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| Month | ET | Cultivation | Water | ET | Cultivation | Water | ET | Cultivation | Water | |
| | (mm/month) | Area (ha) | Consumption | (mm/month) | Area (ha) | Consumption | (mm/month) | Area (ha) | Consumption | |
| | | | (103m3) | | | (103m3) | | | (103m3) | (103m3) |
| Jan. | 151.6 | 1,169 | 1,772 | 135.9 | | 0 | 198.7 | 126 | 250 | 2,022 |
| Feb. | 136.6 | 1,169 | 1,597 | 122.5 | | 0 | 179.0 | 126 | 226 | 1,823 |
| Mar. | 134.0 | 1,510 | 2,023 | 120.1 | 906 | 1,088 | 173.7 | 126 | 219 | 3,330 |
| Apr. | 95.0 | 1,510 | 1,435 | 91.5 | 906 | 829 | 126.6 | 126 | 160 | 2,424 |
| May | 79.8 | 1,510 | 1,205 | 76.8 | 906 | 696 | 100.5 | 126 | 127 | 2,028 |
| Jun. | 59.2 | 1,510 | 894 | 61.6 | 906 | 558 | 75.8 | 126 | 96 | 1,548 |
| Jul. | 59.3 | 1,510 | 895 | 61.7 | 906 | 559 | 75.9 | 126 | 96 | 1,550 |
| Aug. | 70.6 | 1,510 | 1,066 | 67.9 | 906 | 615 | 85.7 | 126 | 108 | 1,789 |
| Sep. | 85.7 | 1,510 | 1,294 | 82.6 | 906 | 748 | 106.7 | 126 | 134 | 2,176 |
| Oct. | 103.8 | 1,510 | 1,567 | 100.0 | 906 | 906 | 135.3 | 126 | 170 | 2,643 |
| Nov. | 122.4 | 1,169 | 1,431 | 109.7 | | 0 | 155.3 | 126 | 196 | 1,627 |
| Dec. | 138.8 | 1,169 | 1,623 | 124.4 | | 0 | 179.9 | 126 | 227 | 1,850 |
| Total | 1,236.8 | | 16,802 | 1,154.7 | | 5,999 | 1,593.1 | | 2,009 | 24,810 |

Note: ET: Actual Evapotranspiration of Crop.







II - 26

Chapter III. IRRIGATION WATER OF LOWER LLUTA VALLEY

3.1 Existing Irrigation Area

3.1.1 Irrigation system

The total farmland area of the Lower Lluta Valley is estimated at 4,032 ha. This area is located along a 65 km reach between Vilacollo and the river mouth, and is supplied by the river water irrigation system of the Lluta. (see Fig. C.2.2)

However, only a portion of the 4,032 ha is cultivated. The cultivated area is normally limited to 2,784.2 ha (69%), and the other 1,248.2 ha (31.0%) is perennially fallow due to lack of irrigation water and the poor drainage capacity of the soil.

The 4,032 ha of farmland along the Lluta River is divided into 6 irrigation sectors and is further divided into 80 irrigation sub-sectors. Each irrigation sub-sector is supplied river water through its own independent irrigation intake and channel network. Conventional irrigation methods are used for all irrigated areas.

The total farmland and estimated irrigated areas, by irrigation sector, are detailed in Table C.3.1 and summarized below.

| Name of | Number of | Total Farmland | Irrigated | Area |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|------|
| Sector | Sub -sectors | (ha) | (ha) | (%) |
| Upstream Sector | 27 | 275. | 213.3 | 77.5 |
| Sector I | 8 | 496.8 | 338.3 | 68.1 |
| Sector II | 14 | 433.2 | 307.5 | 71.0 |
| Sector III | 14 | 719.5 | 575.1 | 79.9 |
| Sector IV | 9 | 1,558.0 | 1,127.8 | 72.4 |
| Sector V | 8 | <u>549.5</u> | 222.2 | 40.4 |
| Total | 80 | 4,032.4 | 2,784.2 | 69.0 |

Locations of the above irrigation sectors and sub-sectors, along with the irrigation intakes, are shown in Fig. C.3.1.

3.1.2 Irrigated Areas and Cropping Patterns

1) Irrigated Areas

Due to river water contamination, the crop types of the Lluta Valley are limited to maize, pasture (alfalfa), and certain kinds of vegetables. The river water contains a high concentration of Boron (B), which severely affects crop cultivation.

The average content of Boron (B) in the river water is 10.69 mg/l at Tocontasi, 11.17 mg/l at Poconchile, and 16.84 mg/l at Panamericana. The sources of contamination are the upstream tributaries of the Lluta River: the Azufre and Colpitas rivers. For details, see Supporting Report A, Chapter II.

Maize is the predominant crop followed by pasture (alfalfa). The breakdown by crop type is as follows:

| Crop | <u>Area</u> (ha) | % of Cultivated Area |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Maize | 1698.4 | 61.0 |
| Alfalfa | 683.9 | 24.6 |
| Vegetables | <u>401.9</u> | 14.4 |
| Totals | 2784.2 | 100.0 |

The irrigated areas by crop type and irrigation sector are shown in Table C.3.1, and are summarized as follows:

Areas by Crop Type and Irrigation Sector (ha)

| Sector | Maize | Vegetables | Pasture | Fallow | Total |
|----------|---------|------------|---------|---------|--------------|
| Upstream | 131.5 | 7.2 | 74.6 | 62.1 | 275.4 |
| Ι | 138.5 | 22.5 | 177.3 | 158.5 | 496.8 |
| II | 101.3 | 51.1 | 155.1 | 125.7 | 433.2 |
| III | 349.0 | 73.0 | 153.1 | 144.4 | 719.5 |
| IV | 877.3 | 180.9 | 69.6 | 430.2 | 1,558.0 |
| V | 100.8 | 67.2 | 54.2 | 327.3 | <u>549.5</u> |
| Total | 1,698.4 | 401.9 | 683.9 | 1,248.2 | 4,032.4 |

2) Cropping Pattern

Maize is cultivated once or twice a year. Double cropping is common for the area downstream of Poconchile. However, there is normally only one crop in the upstream area of Poconchile due to the limitations of climate and marketing. Vegetables and pasture are cultivated throughout the year.

The general cropping calendars of the Lower Lluta Valley are as follows.

| Crop | Cultivation Period | Fallow Period | |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Maize | 4 months (DecMar.) | 8 months (AprNov.) | |
| Vegetables | Year-round | | |
| Pasture | Year-round | ***** | |
| 2) Downstrea | m Area (Poconchile - San | ta Rosa Sub-sectors) | |
| 2) Downstrea Crop | m Area (Poconchile - San Cultivation Period | ta Rosa Sub-sectors) Fallow Period | |
| 2) Downstrea Crop Maize 1st | m Area (Poconchile - San Cultivation Period 4 months (Mar - Jun) | ta Rosa Sub-sectors) Fallow Period | |
| 2) Downstrea Crop Maize 1st. | m Area (Poconchile - San Cultivation Period 4 months (MarJun.) 4 months (Sen -Dec.) | ta Rosa Sub-sectors) Fallow Period 2 months (July-Aug.) 2 months (Jan -Feb.) | |

(1) Upstream Area (Vilacollo - Linderos Sub-sectors)

3.2 Existing Water Use and Water Rights

Pasture

There is no existing data on the actual irrigation water use, other than the approximate area irrigated.

Year-round

However, the actual water use can be roughly estimated by calculating the difference between the river flow rates at upstream and downstream gauging stations.

The observed flow rates during the dry season (Apr.-Dec.) at Tocontasi/Chapisca and Panamericana, and estimated difference (balance) are as follows:

| | Tocontasi/ Chapisca | Panamericana | Balance |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Average Flow Rate (1/s) | 1,638 | 599 | 1,039 |
| 80% Drought Flow Rate (1/s) | 1,342 | 292 | 1,050 |
| 90% Drought Flow Rate (l/s) | 1,227 | 229 | 998 |
| Average | | | 1.029 |

Notes: <1 For the above flow rates, see Supporting Report A, Chapter II. <2 The balance was calculated for the dry season (Apr.-Dec.) to avoid errors arising from flood data.

The area irrigated by river water, located between Tocontasi/Chapisca and Panamericana, is approximately 2,500 ha. Hence, the unit irrigation water use is estimated to be 0.41 l/s/ha.

3.2.1 Unit Water Demand

The above calculated water balance between Tocontasi/Chapisca and Panamericana may include some loss due to groundwater recharge. The irrigation water use is therefore also calculated based on irrigated areas and estimated irrigation water usage. In the absence of evapotranspiration data for the Lluta valley, values for the Azapa Valley were used <1>. Evapotranspiration values for maize were estimated at 120% of those used for vegetables, based on discussions with SAG (Servicio Ganadero y Agrícola). Estimated evapotranspiration data for the different crop types are as follows:

Estimated Evapotranspiration by Crop Type (mm)

| | Maize | Vegetables | Pasture |
|-------|---------|------------|---------|
| Month | | | |
| Jan. | 163.1 | 135.9 | 198.7 |
| Feb | 147.0 | 122.5 | 179.0 |
| Mar | 144.1 | 120.1 | 173.7 |
| Apr | 109.8 | 91.5 | 126.6 |
| May | 92.2 | 76.8 | 100.5 |
| Jun | 73.9 | 61.6 | 75.8 |
| Jul | 74.0 | 61.7 | 75.9 |
| Aug | 81.5 | 67.9 | 85.7 |
| Sep | 99.1 | 82.6 | 106.7 |
| Oct | 120.0 | 100.0 | 135.3 |
| Nov | 131.6 | 109.7 | 155.3 |
| Dec | 149.3 | 124.4 | 179.9 |
| Total | 1,385.6 | 1,154.7 | 1,593.1 |

Irrigation efficiencies were estimated as follows:

| Maize Vegetabl | es | 40% 45% |
|-------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| Pasture | | 60% |
| Sources: | SAG <1> | (Servicio Ganadero y Agrícola) |

3.2.2 Total Water Demand

Total irrigation water demand was estimated using the above evapotranspiration data and irrigation efficiencies, plus the irrigated areas and cropping patterns presented in Section 3.1. The methodology was similar to that described in Section 2.2 of this report. Irrigation water demand per hectare were calculated as shown in Table C.3.2. Water demands by irrigation sector were then calculated as shown in Table C.3.3. The results are summarized as follows:

Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and Irrigation Method Lower Lluta Valley (Units: 10³m³/yr.)

| Sector | Maize | Vegetables | Pasture | Fallow | Total |
|----------|----------|------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Upstream | 1,983.9 | 184.8 | 1,980.8 | 0.0 | 4,149.4 |
| Ι | 2,089.5 | 577.4 | 4,707.6 | 0.0 | 7,374.5 |
| II | 1,528.3 | 1,311.2 | 4,118.2 | 0.0 | 6,957.7 |
| III | 8,027.3 | 1,873.2 | 4,065.1 | 0.0 | 13,965.6 |
| IV | 20,178.8 | 4,641.9 | 1,848.0 | 0.0 | 26,668.7 |
| v | 2,318.5 | 1,724.4 | 1,439.1 | 0.0 | 5,482.0 |
| Total | 36,126.4 | 10,312.8 | 18,158.7 | 0.0 | 64,597.9 |

3.2.3 Real Water Consumption

The yearly irrigation water demand in the Lower Lluta Valley, estimated above at $64,597.9 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, is equivalent to 2048.4 l/sec and an overall average of 0.737 l/sec/ha. However this total amount is not completely consumed by the crops. A significant portion is either lost during distribution or infiltrates into the groundwater. The portion which infiltrates into the groundwater is available for reuse. The amount actually consumed by the crops (without considering losses due to irrigation efficiencies) is estimated, based on the evapotranspiration. The results are as follows:

| Sector | Maize | Vegetables | Pasture | Fallow | Total |
|----------|----------|------------|----------|--------|----------|
| Upstream | 793.6 | 83.1 | 1,188.5 | 0.0 | 2,065.2 |
| I | 835.8 | 259.8 | 2,824.6 | 0.0 | 3,920.2 |
| П | 611.3 | 590.1 | 2,470.9 | 0.0 | 3,672.3 |
| III | 3,210.9 | 842.9 | 2,439.0 | 0.0 | 6,492.9 |
| IV | 8,071.5 | 2,088.9 | 1,108.8 | 0.0 | 11,269.2 |
| V | 927.4 | 776.0 | 863.5 | 0.0 | 2,566.8 |
| Total | 14,450.6 | 4,640.7 | 10,895.2 | 0.0 | 29,986.5 |

Existing Evapotranspiration by Crop and Irrigation Method Lower Lluta Valley (Units: 10³ m³ / yr.)

The total real irrigation water consumption of the Lluta Valley is 29,986.5 x 10^3 m³/yr (= 950.9 l/s).

Out of the above real irrigataion water consumption, that in the downstream reaches of Tocontasi/Chapisca stations is estimated to be $28,181.4 \times 10^3 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$ (= 893.6 l/s). Its monthly real water consumption are shown in Table C.3.4.

3.2.4 Water Rights

Most of the irrigation water of the Lower Lluta Valley is extracted based on the legally authorized water rights or customary water rights. The number of water rights and quantity, by water source category, as of 1994, are summarized below.

(1) Legally Authorized Water Rights

| Water Source | Number of Water Rights Authorized | Quantity (l/s), (Acc.) |
|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| River Water | 2 | 284.5 l/s |
| River Water | 78 | 2,729.84 Acc. |
| Groundwater | 1 | 0.25 (l/s) |
| Total | 81 | 284.75 l/s + 2,729.84 Acc. |

(2) Customary Water Right

| Water Source | Number of WaterCustomary Rights Authorized | Quantity (l/s) | | |
|--------------|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Groundwater | 1 | 10.0 l/s | | |

For details, see, Appendix C.3

REFERENCES

<1. Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa, January, 1989 for DGA by Araya, Cabrera/Asociados Ltda., Ingenieros Consultores.

| Irrigation Sector | _ | Irrigation Ar | ea by Cror | (ha.) | | Remarks |
|-------------------|--------|---------------|------------|--------|---------|---------|
| anguaon overer | Maize | Vegetable | Pasture | Fallow | Total | |
| | | | | | | |
| 1. Upstream Secto | r | | | | | |
| Vilacollo Uno | | | | | 7.0 | |
| Vilacollo Dos | | | | 6.7 | 6.7 | |
| Iqueta Norte | 2.0 | | | 1.0 | 3.0 | |
| Vinto Sur | | | | | 3.8 | |
| Vinto Norte | | | | | 23.1 | |
| Cata | | | | | 3.3 | |
| Buena Vista | | | | | 5.3 | |
| Anca Collo | | | | 1.7 | 1.7 | |
| Huacharaque | 2.0 | | 0.5 | | 2.5 | |
| Arancha | 14.0 | | 8.0 | 1.2 | 23.2 | |
| Millure | 1 | | 0.0 | | 9.3 | |
| Saucine | | | 1.3 | | 1.3 | |
| Challallapo | 69 | | 12.2 | 3 1 | 22.2 | |
| Tinare | | | | 73 | 73 | |
| La Palma | 10.0 | | 1.0 | 2.1 | 13.1 | |
| 7053 | 11.4 | | 7.2 | 0.4 | 19.0 | |
| Cala Cala | 10.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.4 | 14.9 | |
| Chaquire | 6.1 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 8.0 | 18.1 | |
| Tauquia | 9.0 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 0.5 | 18.3 | |
| Chapisca Norte | 3.7 | | 1.2 | | 4.9 | |
| Chapisca Oriente | 6.0 | | 0.3 | | 6.3 | |
| Giron | 2.5 | | 2.5 | 3.0 | 8.0 | |
| Chapisca Sur | 4.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 6.0 | 13.0 | |
| Vila Vila | | | | | 5.3 | |
| Tocontasi | | | | | 24.7 | |
| Vila Vila Dos | | | | | 0.8 | |
| Irenio Quispe | | | | | 9.3 | |
| Sub-Total (| 131.5) | (7.2) | (74.6) | (62.1) | 275.4 | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. Sector I | | | | | | |
| Molinos | 80.0 | 10.0 | 90.0 | 77.4 | 257.4 | |
| Chatiapo | 4.0 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 23.1 | |
| Humire | | | 4.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | |
| Quispe | | | | 1.5 | 1.5 | |
| Bocanegra | 23.0 | 9.0 | 39.0 | 37.0 | 108.0 | |
| El Tambo | 18.0 | 2.0 | 22.3 | 13.0 | 55.3 | |
| Almonte | 5.5 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 2.5 | 18.5 | |
| Santa Inés | 8.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 17.5 | 28.0 | |
| Sub-Total | 138.5 | 22.5 | 177.3 | 158.5 | 496.8 | |
| 0.0 | | | | | | |
| 3. Sector II | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Rojas Maraboli | 8.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 12.7 | 24.7 | |
| Altonso Bolano | s 5.0 | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 | |
| Vilca Loredo | 6.5 | 3.5 | 19.8 | 17.5 | 47.3 | |
| Loredo | 4.0 | 1.0 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 23.0 | |
| Viica Chang | 0.0 | 4.5 | 55.5 | 5.3 | 49.3 | |
| Bolanos villanu | evaz.J | | 8.0 | 0.2 | 10.7 | |

| Table C.3.1 | Existing Irrigation Area by Crop of Lower Lluta Valley. |
|-------------|---|
| | <area cultivo="" de="" el="" en="" existente="" lluta="" por="" riego="" valle=""/> |

Page 1 of 2

| Irrigation Sector | Maize | Irrigation Vegetab | Area by C | rop (ha.) Fallow | To | Remarks |
|-------------------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------|---------------|
| | THUL | rogotao | ie i ustare | i unov | 10 | |
| Ponce | 5.0 | 0.3 | 4.0 | | 9.3 | |
| Ramos | 8.4 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 9.0 | 37.4 | |
| Santa Raquel | | | | | 103.3 | |
| San Pablo | | | | 1.3 | 1.3 | |
| Flores | | 2.0 | | 10.0 | 12.0 | |
| Punta de Riele | s 4.0 | | 1.0 | 2.0 | 7.0 | |
| El Pichin | 2.0 | | | 1.3 | 3.3 | |
| Aquatoya | 24.6 | 18.0 | 23.0 | 22.0 | 87.6 | |
| Sub-Total | (101.3) | (51.1) | (155.1) | (125.7) | 433.2 | |
| 4. Sector III | | | | | | |
| Kesler | | 1.3 | | 5.0 | 6.3 | |
| La Isla | 18.0 | 8.0 | | 4.0 | 30.0 | |
| Huanca | | 010 | | | 9.4 | |
| Pro-Chile | 18.0 | 6.0 | 38.0 | 25.9 | 87 9 | |
| Linderos | 16.0 | 79 | 8.0 | | 31.9 | |
| Poconchile | 45.0 | 15.0 | 46.0 | 57 | 1117 | |
| Garría | 45.0 | 15.0 | 40.0 | 5.1 | 67 | |
| Barrico Sta D | 000 8 3 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 25 2 | |
| Mayorga | 054 0.5 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 23.3 | |
| La Dalma Lino | 16.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 07 | 20.7 | |
| La Faillia Ulio | 10.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 9.1 | 50.7 | |
| La Dalma Das | 25 5 | 10 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 03.2 | |
| La Palma Dos | 33.3 | 4.0 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 48.0 | |
| Visconii Kaalaa Ciil | 100.8 | 8./ | 10.5 | 39.3 | 139.3 | |
| Kesler Gli | (240.0) | (72.0) | (152.1) | (1 4 4 4) | 80.0 | |
| Sub-Total | (349.0) | (73.0) | (153.1) | (144.4) | /19.5 | |
| J.Sector IV | 10.7 | | | <i>c</i> 0 | 04.7 | |
| Arellano Beyz | an 18.7 | | 2.0 | 6.0 | 26.7 | |
| Cora Beyzan | 93.0 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 | 129.6 | |
| El Muro | 10 5 | | | | 281.4 | |
| Alanoca | 10.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 23.0 | 35.5 | |
| Chacabuco | 310.0 | 30.0 | 10.0 | 106.8 | 456.8 | |
| Dominguez | 10.0 | | | | 10.0 | |
| Sascapa | 246.0 | 110.0 | 36.7 | 172.0 | 564.7 | |
| Bravo Uno | | | | | 33.3 | |
| Bravo Dos | (0== 0) | 100.0 | | (100 0) | 20.0 | |
| Sub-Total | (877,3) | 180.9) | (69.6) | (430.2) | 1,558.0 | |
| 6. Sector V | | | | | | |
| Valle Hermoso | 60.0 | 35.0 | 12.0 | 225.0 | 332.0 | |
| Aica González | 24.0 | 8.0 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 40.0 | |
| M. Beovic | | | 8.0 | 2.7 | 10.7 | |
| B'ba Pte.Chac | all | | 6.7 | | 6.7 | (Green belt |
| Ambrosio Flor | res | | 2.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | along street) |
| Bellet | | 2.7 | | 40.0 | 42.7 | |
| Beneficiencia | 4.8 | 11.5 | 16.5 | 19.5 | 52.3 | |
| Santa Rosa | 12.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 32.4 | 62.4 | |
| Sub-Total | 100.8 | 67.2 | 54.2 | 327.3 | 549.5 | |
| Total (1 | ,698.4) | (401.9) | (683.9) | (1,248.2) | 4,032.4 | 1 |

Table C.3.1Existing Irrigation Area by Crop of Lower Lluta Valley.
Page 2 of 2

Data Source ; Asociación Regantes de Lluta Note: With Bracket: estimated based on the average ratios of known values.

| | Maize | | Vegetal | oles | Pastu | re |
|-------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Month | Irrig .Effic. | m ³ /ha | Irrig .Effic. | m ³ /ha | Irrig .Effic. | m ³ /ha |
| Jan. | 40% | 4,077 | 45% | 3,020 | 60% | 3,312 |
| Feb | 40% | 3,675 | 45% | 2,722 | 60% | 2,983 |
| Mar | 40% | 3,603 | 45% | 2,669 | 60% | 2,895 |
| Apr | 40% | 2,745 | 45% | 2,033 | 60% | 2,110 |
| May | 40% | 2,304 | 45% | 1,707 | 60% | 1,675 |
| Jun | 40% | 1,848 | 45% | 1,369 | 60% | 1,263 |
| Jul | 40% | 1,851 | 45% | 1,371 | 60% | 1,265 |
| Aug | 40% | 2,037 | 45% | 1,509 | 60% | 1,428 |
| Sep | 40% | 2,478 | 45% | 1,836 | 60% | 1,778 |
| Oct | 40% | 3,000 | 45% | 2,222 | 60% | 2,255 |
| Nov | 40% | 3,291 | 45% | 2,438 | 60% | 2,588 |
| Dec | 40% | 3,732 | 45% | 2,764 | 60% | 2,998 |
| Total | | 34,641 | | 25,660 | | 26,552 |

| Table C.3.2 | Unit Irrigation Water Demand by Crop Type - Lower Lluta Valley |
|-------------|--|
| | <demanda agua="" cultivo="" de="" para="" por="" riego="" unitaria=""></demanda> |

Table C.3.3 Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop Type <Demanda Existente de Agua para Riego por Cultivo>

| | I | Maize | | · · · · | Vegetables | | | Pasture | |
|-------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Month | Cultivated | Unit | Total | Cultivated | Unit | Total | Cultivated | Unit | Total |
| | | Water | Water | | Water | Water | | Water | Water |
| | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand |
| | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^{3}m^{3})$ | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^3 m^3)$ | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^{3}m^{3})$ |
| Jan | 371.3 | 4,077 | 1,514 | 80.8 | 3,020 | 244 | 407 | 3,312 | 1,348 |
| Feb | 371.3 | 3,675 | 1,365 | 80.8 | 2,722 | 220 | 407 | 2,983 | 1,214 |
| Mar | 371.3 | 3,603 | 1,338 | 80.8 | 2,669 | 216 | 407 | 2,895 | 1,178 |
| Apr | | 2,745 | 0 | 80.8 | 2,033 | 164 | 407 | 2,110 | 859 |
| May | | 2,304 | 0 | 80.8 | 1,707 | 138 | 407 | 1,675 | 682 |
| Jun | | 1,848 | 0 | 80.8 | 1,369 | 111 | 407 | 1,263 | 514 |
| Jul | [| 1,851 | 0 | 80.8 | 1,371 | 111 | 407 | 1,265 | 515 |
| Aug | | 2,037 | 0 | 80.8 | 1,509 | 122 | 407 | 1,428 | 581 |
| Sep | | 2,478 | 0 | 80.8 | 1,836 | 148 | 407 | 1,778 | 724 |
| Oct | | 3,000 | 0 | 80.8 | 2,222 | 180 | 407 | 2,255 | 918 |
| Nov | | 3,291 | 0 | 80.8 | 2,438 | 197 | 407 | 2,588 | 1,053 |
| Dec | 371.3 | 3,732 | 1,386 | 80.8 | 2,764 | 223 | 407 | 2,998 | 1,220 |
| Total | | | 5,602 | | | 2,073 | | | 10,807 |

Upstream Sectors (Upstream, I, II)

Downstream Sectors (III, IV, V)

| | | Maize | | 1 | Vegetables | | | Pasture | |
|-------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------|--------------|
| Month | Cultivated | Unit | Total | Cultivated | Unit | Total | Cultivated | Unit | Total |
| | | Water | Water | | Water | Water | | Water | Water |
| | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand | Area(ha) | Demand | Demand |
| | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^{3}m^{3})$ | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^{3}m^{3})$ | | (m ³ /ha) | $(10^3 m^3)$ |
| Jan | | 4,077 | | 321.1 | 3,020 | 970 | 276.9 | 3,312 | 917 |
| Feb | | 3,675 | | 321.1 | 2,722 | 874 | 276.9 | 2,983 | 826 |
| Mar | 1327.1 | 3,603 | 4,782 | 321.1 | 2,669 | 857 | 276.9 | 2,895 | 802 |
| Apr | 1327.1 | 2,745 | 3,643 | 321.1 | 2,033 | 653 | 276.9 | 2,110 | 584 |
| May | 1327.1 | 2,304 | 3,058 | 321.1 | 1,707 | 548 | 276.9 | 1,675 | 464 |
| Jun | 1327.1 | 1,848 | 2,452 | 321.1 | 1,369 | 440 | 276.9 | 1,263 | 350 |
| Jul | | 1,851 | 0 | 321.1 | 1,371 | 440 | 276.9 | 1,265 | 350 |
| Aug | | 2,037 | 0 | 321.1 | 1,509 | 485 | 276.9 | 1,428 | 396 |
| Sep | 1327.1 | 2,478 | 3,289 | 321.1 | 1,836 | 589 | 276.9 | 1,778 | 492 |
| Oct | 1327.1 | 3,000 | 3,981 | 321.1 | 2,222 | 714 | 276.9 | 2,255 | 624 |
| Nov | 1327.1 | 3,291 | 4,367 | 321.1 | 2,438 | 783 | 276.9 | 2,588 | 717 |
| Dec | 1327.1 | 3,732 | 4,953 | 321.1 | 2,764 | 888 | 276.9 | 2,998 | 830 |
| Total | | _ | 30,525 | | | 8,239 | | | 7,352 |

Table C.3.4Real Irrigation Water Consumption in the Downstream
Reaches of Tocontasi/Chapisca Station
<Consumo Real de Agua de Riego en el Agua Abajo de la Estación de la
Confluencia de Tocontasi/Chapisca>

| Month | M | aize | Vege | etable | Pasture | | Total Real Consump. | |
|-------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|--|---------|
| | Irrigated Area (ha) | ET (mm) | Irrigated Area (ha) | ET (mm) | Irrigated Area (ha) | ET (mm) | (10 ³ m ³ /mon.) | (l/s) |
| Jan. | 256.4 | 163.1 | 395.6 | 135.9 | 618.7 | 198.7 | 2,185.2 | 815.9 |
| Feb. | 256.4 | 147.0 | 395.6 | 122.5 | 618.7 | 179.0 | 1,969.0 | 813.9 |
| Mar. | 1,583.5 | 144.1 | 395.6 | 120.1 | 618.7 | 173.7 | 3,831.6 | 1,430.6 |
| Apr. | 1,327.1 | 109.8 | 395.6 | 91.5 | 618.7 | 126.6 | 2,602.5 | 1,004.1 |
| May | 1,327.1 | 92.2 | 395.6 | 76.8 | 618.7 | 100.5 | 2,149.2 | 802.4 |
| Jun. | 1,327.1 | 73.9 | 395.6 | 61.6 | 618.7 | 75.8 | 1,693.4 | 653.3 |
| Jul. | - | 74.0 | 395.6 | 61.7 | 618.7 | 75.9 | 713.7 | 266.5 |
| Aug. | - | 81.5 | 395.6 | 67.9 | 618.7 | 85.7 | 798.8 | 298.2 |
| Sep. | 1,327.1 | 99.1 | 395.6 | 82.6 | 618.7 | 106.7 | 2,302.2 | 888.2 |
| Oct. | 1,327.1 | 120.0 | 395.6 | 100.0 | 618.7 | 135.3 | 2,825.2 | 1,054.8 |
| Nov. | 1,327.1 | 131.6 | 395.6 | 109.7 | 618.7 | 155.3 | 3,141.3 | 1,211.9 |
| Dec. | 1,583.5 | 149.3 | 395.6 | 124.4 | 618.7 | 179.9 | 3,969.3 | 1,482.0 |
| Total | | 1,385.6 | | 1,154.7 | | 1,593.1 | 28,181.4 | 893.6 |

Note: 1) The downstream reaches of Tocontasi/Chopisca station covers a portion of Upstream Irrigation Sector and the whole Irrigation Sector I, II, III, IV & V

2) ET: Evapotranspiration



Ш - 14



Ⅲ - 15

Chapter IV. MUNICIPAL WATER OF IQUIQUE CITY

4.1 Existing Water Supply Service

- 4.1.1 Existing Water Supply System
 - 1) Area and Population Served

The existing municipal water supply system covers approximately 2,162 ha of Iquique city, serving almost the entire population of the city. Water is supplied to the city from 3 distribution tanks: Cavancha, Norte and Las Dunas.

2) Water Source and Water Rights

The water source for the city is groundwater from the Pampa del Tamarugal. The groundwater is extracted by 12 wells at or near Canchones located approximately 70 km east of the city. There are also 2 emergency wells and 2 observation wells.

Of the 12 operating wells, 8 have legally authorized water rights with a total permitted extraction quantity of 835 l/s. For the legally authorized water rights in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin, see Appendix C.4.

3) Water Transmission and Storage

The extracted groundwater is transferred by two transmission mains 75.3 km in length from the Canchones collection tank to the Cavancha and other distribution tanks installed on the hills to the east of the city. The transmission main pipelines are summarized as follows:

| System | Material | Diameters |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Older System (1960's) | Steel | 400 - 700 mm |
| Newer System (1982) | Ductile Iron | 450 - 800 mm |

The transmission mains cross the coastal mountains on the way to Iquique city. The water is pumped in two steps, from EL. 1,013 m at the Canchones collection tank to EL. 1,155 m at Rinconada tank. The first step is from the Canchones collection tank to Diana tank and the second is from

the Diana tank to the Rinconada tank. Thereafter, the water is transferrred by gravity to the distribution tanks. The transmission system is further provided with 3 pressure control tanks at Carpas, Santa Rosa and Alto Hospicio between the Rinconada, Cavancha and other distribution tanks.

| Tank | Water Level (Elev. m) | Capacity (m ³) | Accumulated Distance (km) |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Canchones | 1,013 | 1,000 | 0 |
| Diana | 1,038 | 2,000 | 29.9 |
| Rinconada | 1,155 | 11,000 | 33.0 |
| Carpas | 978 | 2,700 | 59.8 |
| Santa Rosa | 682 | 15,000 | 65.7 |
| Alto Hospicio | 545 | 8,800 | 72.4 |
| Cavancha & Others | 114 | 29,300 | 75.3 |
| Data Course - FCCA | T | | |

The water levels and capacities of these tanks, and their distances from Canchones are shown as follows:

Data Source : ESSAT

The route of the transmission mains is shown in Fig. C.4.1.

4.1.2. Water Production and Consumption

In 1990, ESSAT water production for Iquique city was estimated at $16,355.9 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, of which $9,892.9 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ was consumed for residential, commercial, industrial and public uses <1>. The water loss, including leakage and uninvoiced water, was estimated at $6,463.0 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$, or 39.5% of the production.

The estimated water production, consumption by category, and loss in 1990 are summarized below.

| 1990 | |
|--|--|
| Quantity (10 ³ m ³) | % |
| 16,355.9 | |
| 9,892.9 | 100.0 |
| 6,776.9 | 68.5 |
| 1 1,539.9 | 15.6 |
| 461.3 4.6 | |
| 1,114.0 | 11.3 |
| 6,463.0 | (39.5)* |
| | 1990 Quantity (10 ³ m ³) 16,355.9 9,892.9 6,776.9 1 1,539.9 461.3 4.6 1,114.0 6,463.0 |

Percent of Product

Source: <1>

It is noted that there are no master meters at Cavancha and that water production is estimated by multiplying pump capacities by hours of operation.

The estimated monthly water consumption, by category, and production in 1990, are shown in Table C.4.1.

The water losses in the above table consists of physical and commercial losses. The physical loss is the water leakage from the water transmission mains and distribution networks. The commercial loss results from water consumption by illegal connections and under-registration by domestic meters.

The physical and commercial losses in 1990 were estimated as follows.

| 4 7 - 6 - 6 - 6 | Quantity (10 ³ m ³) | % | |
|----------------------------|--|-------------|---|
| Physical Loss | 4,811.0 | 29.4 | - |
| Commercial | 1,652.0 | <u>10.1</u> | |
| Total Loss | 6,463.0 | 39.5 | |
| | | | _ |

Source: <1>

In 1991 there was a reclassification of consumers which resulted in significant changes to the amounts consumed by each category. In 1991 the consumption classified as "industrial" increased considerably and there was a corresponding decrease in the "commercial' consumption. Also, in 1991 public buildings were reclassified from the "Public" to the Residential Category. The breakdown for 1992 was as follows:

| | 1992 Quantity (10 ³ m ³) | % |
|-------------|--|---------|
| Production | 17,241.2 | |
| Consumption | 10,821.7 | 100.0 |
| Residential | 8,523.8 | 78.8 |
| Commercial | 869.5 | 8.0 |
| Industrial | 1,359.4 | 12.6 |
| Other | 68.9 | 0.6 |
| Losses | 6,419.5 | (37.2)* |

The water production, consumption by purpose and loss in 1992 are summarized as follows:

* Percent of Production

The water losses in the above tables consist of physical and commercial losses as described earlier for the year 1990.

The per capita water production and consumption in 1990 and 1992 are estimated as follows.

| | Per Capita Water Use (liters/person/day) | | |
|--------------------------|---|------|-------------|
| | | 1990 | <u>1992</u> |
| Production Basis | : | 309 | 313 |
| Total Consumption Basis | : | 187 | 180 |
| Resid. Consumption Basis | ; | 128 | 142* |

* Includes public buildings

Due to lack of meters at the source, the condition of existing consumer meters, and the lack of comprehensive leak detection studies, it is not possible to accurately estimate how much of the total losses are physical losses from the networks, and how much is due to other factors. However, based on data from the B &S Study <1>, leakage is estimated at 29.4% of production = $5,069 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3$ in 1992.

4.1.3 Water Restrictions

The existing water supply service is available for 24 hours per day. There are no overall limitations on water supply, but some areas have a restricted supply.

4.2 Future Water Demand

4.2.1 Projected Population

Census data is available since 1940 as follows:

| CENSUS | DATA |
|--------|----------|
| 1940 | 38,094 |
| 1952 | 39,576 |
| 1960 | 50,655 |
| 1970 | 64,435 |
| 1982 | 110,534 |
| 1992 | 152,529* |

Preliminary Results of 1992 Census

The above census data for Iquique show high population growth rates between 1940 and 1992. Although the 1992 census including the latest demographic data is not completed, its preliminary results are now available.

In this report, the following methods, corresponding to different growth scenarios, were used for projecting the future populations of Iquique:

- 1. Linear growth (straight line) based on 1982-92 census data
- 2. Exponential growth based on 1970-92 census data
- 3. Exponential growth based on the 1982-92 Region I growth rate.

As can be seen in Figure C.4.2, an exponential growth rate based on 1970-92 census data for Iquique City, results in a 2020 population projection of about 375,000, whereas a linear growth based on the 1982-1992 Census data results in a 2020 population of only about 275,000.

The growth of Iquique will depend on going economic development planning which cannot be fully appreciated at the present time. It was therefore decided to base the projections of this report on the average of the above methods. The results are summarized as follows:
| 1995 | 165,236 |
|------|---------|
| 2000 | 188,100 |
| 2005 | 213,356 |
| 2010 | 241,379 |
| 2015 | 272,605 |
| 2020 | 307,540 |

The above figures are for Iquique City only, and do not include the populations of the towns served by ESSAT, in Iquique Province. Populations and water supply for these towns are covered in Section V of this report.

4.2.2 Per Capita Water Consumption

Existing per capita water consumption data for Iquique were presented in Section 4.1.2. However, some sections of the city are not adequately served. Therefore, adjustments were made to obtain the appropriate per capita demands for future conditions when a 24 hour unrestricted water supply is desired.

Based on data from the 1992 report by B&S Ingenieros y Consultores <1> and recent data available from the ESSAT Planning Department, the total per capita consumption, including commercial, industrial and other uses is estimated at 220 l/c/d. It is assumed that the ratio of residential to other uses (commercial, industrial and "other") will remain relatively constant, and that future per capita consumption will increase as the standard of living improves and the uses of potable water expand. This increase is estimated at 0.3% per year.

4.2.3 Projected Water demand

1) Projected Consumption

Total future consumption is calculated based on the population projections and estimated per capita consumption, as shown in the following table:

| Dopulation | Per Capita | Tota | Total | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|
| Served | <u>(1/c/d)</u> | (m3/day) | <u>(l/sec)</u> | |
| | 220 | | | |
| 165,236 | 221.99 | 36,680 | 424.5 | |
| 188,100 | 225.34 | 42,386 | 490.6 | |
| 213,356 | 228.74 | 48,802 | 564.8 | |
| 241,379 | 232.19 | 56,045 | 648.7 | |
| 272,605 | 235.69 | 64,251 | 743.6 | |
| 307,540 | 239.25 | 73,578 | 851.6 | |
| | Population <u>Served</u> 165,236 188,100 213,356 241,379 272,605 307,540 | Per CapitaPopulationConsumptionServed(1/c/d)220165,236221.99188,100225.34213,356228.74241,379232.19272,605235.69307,540239.25 | Per CapitaTotaPopulationConsumptionConsumServed(1/c/d)(m3/day)220220165,236221.9936,680188,100225.3442,386213,356228.7448,802241,379232.1956,045272,605235.6964,251307,540239.2573,578 | |

2) Projected Production

ESSAT is initiating a leakage control program and efforts to reduce unaccounted-for water. These programs include the use of leak detection equipment, installation of master meters at Canchones, gradual replacement of residential meters, and efforts to reduce the number of illegal connections. It is therefore estimated that the total leakage as a percentage of total production will gradually decrease from almost 40 % at present, to 30% by the year 2005. Future losses, as a percentage of total production, and the estimated total production are estimated as follows:

| Year | Consumption | Losses - % | Production | |
|------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|
| | <u>(m3/day)</u> | of Production | <u>(m3/day)</u> | <u>(l/sec)</u> |
| 1995 | 36,680 | 40 | 61,133 | 707.6 |
| 2000 | 42,386 | 35 | 65,209 | 754.7 |
| 2005 | 48,802 | 30 | 69,717 | 806.9 |
| 2010 | 56,045 | 30 | 80,065 | 926.7 |
| 2015 | 64,251 | 30 | 91,787 | 1,062.3 |
| 2020 | 73,578 | 30 | 105,112 | 1,216.6 |

The above projections of water production are based on the assumption that adequate production capacity will be provided as required, even though this is optimistic for the year 1995. It is further noted that these projections are very sensitive to the assumption regarding unaccounted-for water. For example, if the unaccounted-for water could be reduced to 20% of production in the year 2020, the production requirements would be reduced to 1064 l/sec, a reduction of 152 l/sec.

These projections are depicted in Figure C.4.3. It should be kept in mind that these are average day production requirements, and that the water production facilities should be designed for the maximum day requirements. For maximum day production requirements, see Supporting Report D.

4.2.4 Water Rights

In addition to the existing legally authorized water rights of 835 l/sec, ESSAT has applied for two additional water rights with a total requested amount of 400 l/sec; refer to Appendix C.6.

REFERENCES

<1. Análisis Programa de Desarrollo de ESSAT, Marzo 1992, prepared for ESSAT by Bustamente and Schudeck, Ingenieros Consultores Ltda.</p>

Table C.4.1.Existing Municipal Water Production and Consumption of Iquique
City (1990).
Producción y Consumo de Agua Municipal Existente en Iquique
(1990)>

| Month | Production | Consumption* | | | | |
|------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|
| | | Residential | Commercial | Industrial | Public | Total |
| Jan. | 1,374.7 | 573.0 | 125.2 | 32.4 | 85.7 | 816.4 |
| Feb. | 1,281.0 | 652.1 | 129.8 | 50.0 | 88.3 | 920.2 |
| Mar. | 1,385.2 | 630.9 | 138.9 | 31.7 | 88.0 | 889.5 |
| Apr. | 1,348.4 | 593.3 | 136.1 | 38.8 | 102.3 | 870.5 |
| May | 1,383.4 | 504.3 | 126.4 | 32.3 | 91.8 | 754.8 |
| Jun. | 1,351.8 | 567.2 | 137.7 | 33.4 | 99.2 | 837.5 |
| Jul. | 1,444.3 | 488.1 | 116.5 | 34.1 | 86.4 | 725.1 |
| Aug. | 1,424.0 | 521.6 | 124.1 | 33.7 | 92.3 | 771.8 |
| Sep. | 1,359.7 | 550.4 | 122.0 | 37.8 | 92.9 | 803.1 |
| Oct. | 1,360.8 | 490.4 | 111.6 | 35.3 | 96.0 | 733.4 |
| Nov. | 1,362.3 | 645.3 | 132.3 | 43.3 | 94.1 | 915.0 |
| Dec. | 1,280.3 | 560.3 | 139.1 | 58.5 | 97.8 | 855.8 |
| Total | 16,355.9 | 6,776.9 | 1,539.9 | 461.3 | 1,114.8 | 9,892.9 |
| Percentage | | 68.5% | 15.6% | 4.6% | 11.3% | 100% |

(Unit:10³m³/month)

* Excluding water consumption with no invoice. Source: <1>







Chapter V. DOMESTIC WATER OF PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL

5.1 Existing Town Water Use

5.1.1 Towns and Population Served

The Pampa del Tamarugal Basin covers portions of 3 districts (comunas): Huara, Pica and Pozo Almonte with a total population of 11,744 * in 1992. Populations by district are as follows:

| District (Comuna) | Population* |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Huara | 1,964 |
| Pica | 2,514 |
| Pozo Almonte | 7,266 |
| Total | 11,744 |

* Preliminary results of 1992 Census

The towns served by the ESSAT system, and districts (comunas) in which they are located, are as follows:

Comuna/Town Huara Huara Pisagua Pica Pozo Almonte Pozo Almonte Matilla Huayca Tirana

The locations of these towns are shown in Figure C.5.1

According to the 1982 Census, the total population of the above 3 comunas was 8,979 of which 5,158 or 57.5% were located in the towns served by the ESSAT system.

Detailed demographic information on the 1992 population is not yet available. However, the 1992 population of the towns served by ESSAT in the Pampa del Tamarugal was estimated by others <1> at 6,574.

5.1.2 Water Consumption

Most of the existing urban population is served by ESSAT, and the source of water is mainly from springs and groundwater at Chintaguay near Pica; refer to Figure C.4.1. Other minor sources include a well owned by the Chilean army at Dupliza (serving Huara), and a well at Dolores serving Pisagua. Water supply to the Pozo Almonte area is also supplemented by water from Canchones during periods when water is being pumped from Chintaguay to Pica.

Based on water billing data from ESSAT, the 1992 water consumption for the towns in the Pampa del Tamarugal (or served by sources in the Pampa) are as follows:

| Town | 1992 Water Consumption |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | (without adjustment)<1 |
| Pica | 235,296 m ³ /yr. |
| Matilla | 360,058 |
| Huayca | 84,393 |
| Tirana | 49,024 |
| Pozo Almonte | 204,503 |
| Huara | 19,487 |
| Pisagua <u><2</u> | 9.748 |
| Total | 962,509 m ³ /yr. |

<1 adjustments resulting from complaints on water bills

<2 Pisagua, although outside of the Pampa del Tamarugal is served by a well inside the Pampa.

There is no data available on the adjusted water consumption for these towns in 1992. It is assumed that the adjusted amounts would be about 2-3% lower than those shown, as is the case in Iquique city. However, because of meter under-registration errors, the above total consumption is assumed to approximate the actual consumption.

There is no rationing of water on a regular basis in the towns served by the system, although there are periods when certain towns are without water, generally due to pumping problems.

Unfortunately, there are no master meters at the sources, so it is not possible to accurately estimate the total production and un-accounted-for water. Periodic flow estimates are made from a V-notch weir located at Chintaguay and from the pump capacities and hours of pumping. Based on these measurements and system knowledge by ESSAT officials, the unaccounted-for water has been estimated <1> as follows:

| Type of | Loss | (% 0 | f Consum | ption) |
|---------|------|------|----------|--------|
|---------|------|------|----------|--------|

| System | Transmission | Distribution | Billing | Total |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|---------|-------|
| Pisagua | 15.0 | 30.0 | 5.0 | 50.0 |
| Huara | 10.0 | 30.0 | 5.0 | 45.0 |
| Chintagua | y 26.9 | 42.8 | 5.0 | 74.7 |

Using these percentages, the 1992 production and unaccounted-for water are estimated as follows:

| 1992 (Units: m ³ /yr) | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------|--|--|
| Town | Consumption | Unaccounted-for | Production | | |
| Pica | 235,296 | 175,766 | 411,062 | | |
| Matilla | 360,058 | 268,963 | 629,021 | | |
| Huayca | 84,393 | 63,042 | 147,435 | | |
| Tirana | 49,024 | 36,621 | 85,645 | | |
| Pozo Alm. | 204,503 | 152,764 | 357,267 | | |
| Huara | 19,487 | 8,769 | 28,256 | | |
| Pisagua | 9,748 | 4,874 | 14,622 | | |
| Total | 962,509 | 710,799 | 1,673,308 | | |

The overall unaccounted-for water, as calculated above, is equivalent to 42.5% of the production.

5.1.3 Water Rights

ESSAT has two existing surface water rights for the water source at Chintaguay, with a total amount of 99 l/sec. In addition, they have one groundwater right for 22.5 l/sec in Dolores. These water rights are now in the process of being regularized by court action.

5.2 Future Town Water Demand

5.2.1 Population Projections

Based on the estimated populations of these towns in 1982 and 1992, as shown in Section 5.1.1, the overall population grew at an annual rate of 2.46%. This trend is expected to continue. The population of the area served is therefore projected using a 2.46% annual growth rate, as follows:

Projected Population Towns in Pampa del Tamarugal

| 1995 | 7,070 |
|------|--------|
| 2000 | 7,982 |
| 2005 | 9,011 |
| 2010 | 10,173 |
| 2015 | 11,485 |
| 2020 | 12,966 |
| | |

5.2.2 Per Capita Water Consumption

Based on an estimated population served of 6,574, in 1992, the per capita consumption was 401 l/c/day. This is much higher than would be expected for small communities such as these. The high per capita water demand reportedly results mainly from agriculture usage, especially in the Matilla area. For purposes of projecting future water demand, a per capita "domestic" demand of 200 l/c/d, which includes commercial, industrial and public uses, was assumed. It is estimated that future "domestic" per capita consumption will increase as the standard of living improves and the uses of potable water expand. This increase is estimated at 0.5% per year.

The agricultural usage, was assumed to grow at a rate of 0.5%, independent of the population growth. This portion is difficult to estimate, as it relates to historical agreements (before the establishment of ESSAT) and future policy with regard to these uses.

5.2.3 Projected Water Demand

1) Projected Consumption

Basic data and assumptions regarding the "domestic" consumption, as described above, are summarized as follows:

| | | | Dom | estic |
|-------------|------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| | | | Consu | mption |
| | | % of Population | Per Capita | Total |
| <u>Year</u> | Population | Served | (m3/c/d) | (m3/day) |
| 1995 | 7,070 | 100% | 0.203 | 1,435.4 |
| 2000 | 7,982 | 100% | 0.208 | 1,661.4 |
| 2005 | 9,011 | 100% | 0.213 | 1,923.0 |
| 2010 | 10,173 | 100% | 0.219 | 2,225.7 |
| 2015 | 11,485 | 100% | 0.224 | 2,576.2 |
| 2020 | 12,966 | 100% | 0.230 | 2,981.8 |

Adding the agricultural consumption, the total consumption is then calculated as follows:

| Year | Domestic | Agricultural | Total Cons | umption |
|------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Consumption | Consumption | <u>(m3/day)</u> | (l/sec) |
| | <u>(m3/day)</u> | (m3/day) | | |
| 1995 | 1,435.4 | 1,342.0 | 2,777.4 | 32.1 |
| 2000 | 1,661.4 | 1,375.9 | 3,037.3 | 35.2 |
| 2005 | 1,923.0 | 1,410.7 | 3,333.6 | 38.6 |
| 2010 | 2,225.7 | 1,446.3 | 3,672.0 | 42.5 |
| 2015 | 2,576.2 | 1,482.8 | 4,059.0 | 47.0 |
| 2020 | 2,981.8 | 1,520.2 | 4,502.1 | 52.1 |

2) Projected Production Requirement

ESSAT is undertaking programs to improve metering, reduce leakage and control illegal connections. As a result, unaccounted-for water is expected to gradually decline as a percentage of production. It is estimated that the total unaccounted-for water as a percentage of total production will gradually decrease from more than 40% at present, to 30% by the year 2005. Future estimates of unaccounted-for water, as a percentage of total production, and the average production calculated as follows:

| Year | Consumption | Unaccounted | Produ | ction |
|------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|---------|
| | (m3/day) | (m3/day) | <u>(m3/day)</u> | (l/sec) |
| 1995 | 2,777 | 40% | 4,629 | 53.6 |
| 2000 | 3,037 | 35% | 4,673 | 54.1 |
| 2005 | 3,334 | 30% | 4,762 | 55.1 |
| 2010 | 3,672 | 30% | 5,246 | 60.7 |
| 2015 | 4,059 | 30% | 5,799 | 67.1 |
| 2020 | 4,502 | 30% | 6,432 | 74.4 |

It should be kept in mind that these are average day production requirements, and that the water production facilities should be designed for the maximum day requirements.

5.3 Other Water Use

The other water uses than the town water use served by ESSAT are rural domestic water use and military water use.

The existing rural population in the year 1992 is estimated to be 5,170. The future population in the years 2015 and 2020 including the relocated families in the CAPPTA Project area, is estimated at 40% of the total population. This

would mean a total rural population of 7,657 and 8,644 in the years 2015 and 2020 respectively.

The existing and future rural domestic water demands are estimated to be 4.2 l/s for 1992, 7.0 l/s for 2015 and 8.0 l/s for 2020 respectively by assuming that the existing per capita water demand is 70 l/c/day and that it increases at an annual rate of 0.5 %.

According to the interview survey, the military of Chile is pumping up groundwater of approximately 60 l/s at Dupliza (Fort Baquedano) for their own use at the present time. In this report, it is assumed that the military water demand does not change in the future.

The existing customary water right for the wells at Dupliza (Fort Baquedano) of 120.0 l/s is being regularized by the Chilean Army.

5.4 Real Water Consumption

The total domestic water production in Pampa del Tamarugal in the years of 1992, 2015 and 2020 are estimated as follows.

| | Town | Rural | Military | Total (l/s) |
|-----------------|------|-------|----------|-------------|
| Existing (1992) | 53.1 | 4.2 | 60.0 | 117.3 |
| Future (2015) | 67.1 | 7.0 | 60.0 | 134.1 |
| Future (2020) | 74.4 | 8.0 | 60.0 | 142.4 |

It is estimated that about 25% of the water production will be lost to leakage in the transmission and distribution systems. A portion of this will infiltrate into the ground and recharge the groundwater.

A significant portion of the water consumption will be returned to the basin in the form of sewage. Pica and Pozo Almonte have sewage systems which discharge to stabilization lagoons. These systems are under-utilized especially in Pica, and the lagoons require repairs to prevent leakage. Most of the inhabitants who are not connected to the sewage systems, and most of the sewage of the other towns is discharged to cesspits.

It is estimated that the portion of domestic water production returned to the Pampa del Tamarugal basin will be on the order of 60%. The real water consumption will then be on the order of 40% of the water production, equivalent to an average of about 47 l/sec in 1992, 54 l/s in 2015 and 57 l/s in 2020.

REFERENCES

<1. Análisis Programa de Desarollo de la ESSAT, Prefactibilidad, Tomo I - Información Básica, Tomo II - Definición Proyecto Inversión, Localidades de la Pampa, Septiembre 1992.



Chapter VI. IRRIGATION WATER USE IN THE PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL

6.1 Irrigation of River Valleys

In addition to the Pica and Matilla area, irrigated farming is practiced within the Pampa del Tamarugal Basin in the valleys of the Aroma, Tarapacá, Quipisca and Mamiña rivers.

6.1.1 Existing Irrigated Areas

In these river valleys, an area of 275 ha is reportedly irrigated by river and spring water. The major crops are maize and pasture (alfalfa). The irrigated areas and water sources of the various river valleys (Quebradas) are as follows:

| River | Irrigation Area (ha) | Water Source |
|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Qda. Aroma | 67 | River and Spring Water |
| Qda. Tarapacá | 126 | River Water |
| Qda. Quipisca | 46 | River Water |
| Qda. Mamiña | 36 | River and Spring Water |
| Total | 275 | |

Data Source : Estudio de Síntesis de Catastros de Usuarios de Aguae Infraestructura de Aprovechamiento, Oct. 1991, prepared for DGA by Ricardo Edwards G.- Ingenieros Ltda.

Most of the irrigation is practiced on small plots of land. According to the Iquique office of SAG (Servicio Agrícola y Ganadero), the above irrigated areas appear to be overstated, particularly in the Mamiña valley.

Nevertheless, because of the lack of comprehensive field survey information, existing water demands were approximated using the above data.

6.1.2 Existing Water Use

1) Water Demand

In the absence of evapotranspiration data specifically for the crops irrigated in river valleys, the following values <2> were used for estimating purposes:

> Maize: 1,385 mm/yr Pasture: 1,593 mm/yr

Estimated efficiencies for these crops and the irrigation methods normally practiced in the area are as follows:

| Maize | - | Furrow: | 40% |
|---------|---|-----------|-----|
| Pasture | - | Flooding: | 50% |

Source: <2> and SAG

Annual irrigation water demands were then estimated, assuming that half the irrigated land is used for growing maize and half for pasture, as follows:

| Crop | ET mm/yr | Irrigation Efficiency | Area (Ha) | Water Demand |
|---------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | | | (10 ³ m ³ /year) |
| Maize | 1385 | 40% | 137.5 | 4,760.9 |
| Pasture | 1593 | 50% | 137.5 | 4,380.8 |
| Total | | | | 9,141.7 |

Water Demand in River Valleys Pampa del Tamarugal Basin

The total irrigation water demand in the river valleys, calculated as shown above, is 9,141.7 x 10^3 m³/yr, which is equivalent to 290 l/sec and an average unit demand of 1.05 l/sec/ha. However, this total amount is not completely consumed by the crops. A significant portion is either lost by evaporation during distribution or infiltrates into the groundwater. The portion which infiltrates into the groundwater is available for reuse. The amount actually consumed by the crops (without considering losses due to irrigation efficiencies) is estimated, based on the evapotranspiration values presented earlier.

The total annual crop evapotranspiration, calculated at $4,094,800 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr}$ is equivalent to 130 l/sec. This is considered as the total real irrigation water consumption.

2) Water Rights

Existing water rights for users in the Pampa del Tamarugal are shown in Appendices C.4 and C.5. For their locations, see Fig. C.6.2. Those legally authorized for agricultural uses in the River Valleys are summarized as follows:

| Number of Water Rights | Source Type | Quantity (l/sec) |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| 6 | Rivers | 91.66 |
| 7 | Springs | 77.00 |
| 1 | Ground | 30.00 |
| 14 | | 198.66 |

Customary water rights in the river valleys are limited to two users of spring water with total customary rights to 10.88 l/sec.

6.2 Irrigation in the Pica and Matilla Area

Approximately 305 ha of farmland in the Pica and Matilla area are irrigated by spring and groundwater. The major crops are fruits and vegetables. Drip irrigation is performed to a considerable extent. The general locations of irrigation areas in the Pampa del Tamarugal Basin are shown in Figure C.6.1.

6.2.1 Existing Irrigated Areas and Crops

A significant area is now irrigated by springs and groundwater in the Pica and Matilla area. Irrigated areas were estimated in a 1986 report <1>> but there are no recent data available based on actual field surveys. For purposes of this report, approximate areas by crop and irrigation method were provided by the Iquique office of SAG. The approximate areas by crop type and irrigation method are as follows:

| Сгор | Fruit | | Vegetables | Totals |
|-----------------|--------------------|-----|------------|--------|
| Location/Method | Flooding Micro-jet | | Drip | |
| Pica | 120 | 100 | 15 | 235 |
| Matilla | 35 | 30 | 5 | 70 |
| Totals | 155 | 130 | 20 | 305 |

Irrigated Areas by Crop Areas and Irrigation Type (Hectares)

6.2.2 Existing Water Use

1) Water Demand

In the absence of evapotranspiration data specifically for the crops irrigated in Pica and Matilla, the following values were used for estimating purposes:

| Fruit | 1236.7 mm/yr |
|------------|--------------|
| Vegetables | 1154.7 mm/yr |

Source: <2>

Estimated efficiencies for the various crops and irrigation methods are as follows:

| Fruits Flooding | 60% |
|-------------------|-----|
| Fruits Microspray | 80% |
| Vegetables Drip | 90% |

The existing irrigation water demands were estimated as the product of irrigated areas times the evapotranspiration divided by the efficiency. The results are as follows:

Existing Irrigation Water Demand by Crop and Irrigation Method Pica and Matilla (10³M³/yr.)

| Сгор | Fruits | | Vegetables | Totals |
|------------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Location/ Method | Flooding | Microspray | Drip | |
| Pica | 2473.4 | 1454.9 | 192.5 | 4,120.8 |
| Matilla | 721.4 | 436.5 | 64.2 | 1,222.0 |
| Totals | 3194.8 | 1891.4 | 256.6 | 5,342.8 |

The irrigation water demand in the Pica and Matilla area was estimated above at $5,342.8 \times 10^3 \text{m}^3/\text{yr}$, which is equivalent to an average of 169.4 l/sec. This compares with the following estimates based on field investigations in which water users were interviewed, as a part of this study, in October and November, 1993.

| Agricultural Water Us | age - 1993 |
|----------------------------|------------|
| (From Interviews) | |
| Holders of water rights | 101 l/sec |
| Users without water rights | 10 l/sec |
| Totals | 111 l/sec |

For more details refer to Section 6.2.2.3)

2) Real Water Consumption:

The irrigation water demand in the Pica and Matilla, estimated above at 5,342.8 x 10^3 m³/yr, is equivalent to an average of 169.4 l/sec and an

average unit consumption of 0.555 l/sec/ha. However, this amount is not completely consumed by the crops. As previously described, a significant portion is lost during distribution or infiltrates into the groundwater. The portion which infiltrates into the groundwater is available for reuse. The amount actually consumed by the crops is calculated as the irrigated area times the evapotranspiration values presented earlier. The results are as follows:

Existing Evapotranspiration by Crop and Irrigation Method Pica and Matilla (Units: 10³ M³ / yr.)

| Crop | Fruits | | Vegetables | Totals |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|------------|---------|
| Location\Irrig.Method | Flooding | Microspray | Drip | |
| Pica | 1484.0 | 1236.7 | 173.2 | 2,893.9 |
| Matilla | 432.8 | 371.0 | 57.7 | 861.6 |
| Totals | 1916.9 | 1607.7 | 230.9 | 3,755.5 |

From the above table, it can be seen that the total evapotranspiration for the crops in the Pica and Matilla area is $3,755,500 \text{ m}^3/\text{yr} = 119 \text{ l/sec}$ (0.390 l/s/ha). This is considered as the total real irrigation water consumption.

3) Water Rights

Existing water rights are shown in Appendices C.4 and C.5. For their locations, see Fig. C.6.2. Those legally authorized for irrigation in the Pica and Matilla area are shown in Table C.6.1, and are summarized as follows:

| Number of | | | |
|--------------|--------------|----------|----------|
| Water Rights | Source Type | Ouantity | (1/sec)* |
| 6 | Springs | 81.2 | |
| 6 | Ground water | 101.7 | |
| 12 | | 182.9 | |

* includes 4.2 l/sec between Matilla and Tirana

There are only two customary water rights in the Pica and Matilla area for agricultural purposes. One of these is for spring water and the other is for groundwater. The total amount of customary rights is 2.3 l/sec.

A field survey carried out in October and November of 1993 indicated that the existing water usage of the holders of these water rights is approximately 101 l/sec. The results are shown in Table C.6.1 (Column on Existing Water Use).

6.2.3 Future Irrigation Areas and Crops

There is no specific long range plan with regard to overall crop development in the Pica and Matilla areas. Future irrigation in the area will depend on the availability of additional water, the markets for crops produced in the area, production costs, the initiative of private enterprise and other factors.

6.2.4 Water Rights Applications

Water rights applications for uses in the Pampa del Tamarugal Basin are shown in Appendix C.6. For their locations, see Fig. C.6.2. The total amount of irrigation water applied for in the Pica and Matilla area is 179.7 l/sec. Another 205 l/sec have been applied for in the area between Pica and Tirana. However, both these amounts are considerably in excess of available water resources at the agricultural sites to be developed for these water rights applications. Based on information from DGA, the estimated amount of irrigation water available at the sites of water applicants in the Pica and Matilla area is about 70 l/sec, and about 102 l/sec is available at the sites of applicants in the area between Pica and Tirana.

6.2.5 Future Water Demand

1) Previous Studies

Estimates of additional irrigation water demands in the Pica and Matilla area have been prepared by others <1>, based on several alternative assumptions. The alternative projection based on the assumption that adequate water will be available to completely satisfy the irrigation requirements are as follows:

| Year | Water Demand (Delivered - l/sec) |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| 1995 | 150 |
| 2000 | 900 |
| 2005 | 2250 |
| 2010 | 2400 |
| 2015 | 2400 |
| 2020 | 2400 |
| | |

It has not been possible to verify the basic assumptions used to develop these projections. According to information available to the Iquique office of SAG, future irrigation water usage is projected to be on the order of ten times the existing usage. This would mean a total future water demand on the order of 1700 l/sec, and a real water consumption of approximately 1,190 l/sec.

2) Estimated Future Water Demand

It is difficult to accurately estimate the future irrigation water demand in Pica and Matilla including the areas between Matilla and Tirana since there is no specific long range agricultural development plan for these areas.

In this report, however, it is assumed that the irrigated area and water demand of these areas will double by the year 2015, taking account of the amount of the water right applications and available water resources at the sites of the applicants in these areas.

The future irrigated area, water production and real water consumption in 2015 are estimated as follows.

| | Irrigated Area (ha) | Total Production (l/s) | Total Real Consumption (l/s) |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Existing (1992) | 305 | 169.4 | 119 |
| Future (2015) | 610 | 338.8 | 238 |

6.3 CAPPTA Project Irrigation Water

6.3.1 Future Water Demand

1) Previous Studies

CAPPTA (Corporacion Agrícola Proyecto Pampa del Tamarugal) is a private corporation formed for the purpose of promoting productive settlements of people based on agriculture and artesanry. The project contemplates the relocation of families, predominantly Aymara, from the Altiplano to an area generally to the northeast of Huara, as shown in Figure C.6.1. The corporation has been granted rights to the use of 33,550 hectares, and plans to relocate about 430 families to this area.

Preliminary estimates of irrigation water requirements have been based on an irrigated area of 5 hectares per family and a maximum unit water demand of 1.0 l/sec/ha. The irrigation water demand which will occur during the peak season (October through January) is then estimated at $5 \times 430 \times 1.0 = 2,150$ l/sec. Preliminary estimates of the average water demand are as follows:

Average Water Demand : 2,150 ha x 0.6 l/sec/ha = 1,290 l/sec (Annual Average)

Real water consumption is estimated at approximately 839 l/sec (=2,150 ha x 0.39 l/s/ha) by applying the same unit real water consumption as that of Pica and Matilla area.

A detailed plan for agricultural development has not yet been prepared due to the lack of sufficient water source data.

2) Estimated Future Water Demand

However, development scale of CAPPTA Project depends on the availability of irrigation water in both quantity and quality. The above estimation is considered optimistic, considering that the water quality of the area contains a high content of boron (B).

According to the water quality analysis conducted during this Study, the surface water of Aroma River contains 22.87 mg/l of B and 3,015 mg/l of TDS. Further, the groundwater of the CAPPTA Project area are mostly much contaminated as shown below (see, Supporting Report B, Table B-III, 3.3).

| Well Number | TDS (mg/l) | B (mg/l) | Location in CAPPTA Area |
|-------------|------------|----------|----------------------------|
| J-3 | 595.4 | 2.38 | northern fringe |
| 165 | 1,187 | 0.46 | ** |
| 104 | 1,940 | 11.62 | western fringe |
| 931 | 1,803 | 39.87 | ** |
| J-4 | 3,310 | 26.82 | center |
| 947 | 2,077 | 13.31 | southern fringe |
| J-C | 2,611 | 6.67 | 11 |

Available groundwater for CAPPTA Project is limited only in its northern fringe areas. Therefore, the agricultural development scale of CAPPTA Project will much reduce from the above preliminary studies. In this report, the agricultural development area of CAPPTA Project is assumed to be 20% of the above preliminary studies. Then, the average water demand and real water consumption are estimated as follows.

| Average water demand | : | 430 ha x 0.6 l/sec/ha = 258 l/sec |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Real water consumption | : | 430 ha x 0.39 l/sec/ha = 168 l/sec |

6.3.2 Water Rights Application

Water rights were requested for the CAPPTA Project before the actual formation of the corporation; refer to Appendix C.6. Although the application was made for 100 l/sec of surface and spring water, it has since been determined that there are no such water resources available in the project area and that the surface water available has a high boron content. It is now planned to develop groundwater resources for the Project.

In September 1993, a notice of the intention of CAPPTA to apply for water rights was sent to the DGA by the International Cooperation Agency (Government of Israel). However, the estimated water demand (60 l/sec) was only for the first stage of a proposed pilot plan.

REFERENCES

- <1. Uso Múltiple de las Aguas del Salar del Huasco Oficina de Planificación Nacional/Universidad Católica de Chile Julio, 1986
- <2. Modelo de Simulación de las Aguas Subterráneas del Valle de Azapa by Ayala, Cabrera y Asociados Ltda, Enero 1989, prepared for DGA.
- <3. Estudio de Síntesis de Catastros de Usuarios de Aguae Infraestructura de Aprovechamiento, Oct. 1991, prepared for DGA by Ricardo Edwards G.-Ingenieros Ltda.

| Мар | Propietor | No. of | Now | Water | Water | Quantity | Exist. Use | Future Use | Remarks |
|------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|------------|------------|---------------------|
| No. | | Water Right | in use | Source | Use | (l/s) | (l/s)* | (l/s)* | (Location) |
| | Legally Authorized | | | | | | | | |
| (1) | Wenceslao Carlos Alvarez | T-1-5-4-062 | Yes | S | Α | 1.50 | 3.00 | 2.00 | Pica |
| (5) | Ivo Ugrinovic Filipic | NR-1-3-83 | Yes | S | Α | 6.00 | 6.00 | 3.00 | Pica |
| (7) | Soc.Exp./Com.Agric.Ugr | NR-1-3-147 | Yes | S | Α | 8.00 | 2.80 | 7.00 | Pica |
| (8) | Pedro CIsterna/Otros | NR-1-3-210 | Yes | S | Α | 55.00 | 46.00 | 46.00 | Pica |
| (16) | Wenceslao Carlos Alvarez | NR-1-3-387 | Yes | G | Α | 1.50 | 0.50 | 1.00 | Pica |
| (17) | M.Cervellino Ragone | NR-1-3-405 | Yes | G | Α | 2.00 | 2.90 | 1.20 | Pica |
| (18) | G.Cervellino Giannoni | NR-1-3-406 | Yes | G | Α | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | Betw.Tirana/Matilla |
| (22) | A.Contreras C./Otros | NR-1-3-439 | Yes | S | Α | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.50 | Pica |
| (24) | F. Lasala Sciarafia | NR-1-3-452 | Yes | G | Α | 6.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | Pica |
| (25) | Angel Medina Luza | NR-1-3-453 | Yes | G | Α | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | Pica |
| (46) | Agric./Agroin.Esmeralda | ND-1-3-346 | Yes | G | Α | 85.50 | 10.00 | 20.00 | Pica |
| (48) | A.Contreras/T.Barrios | NR-1-3-373 | Yes | S | Α | 9.20 | 9.20 | 25.00 | Pica |
| | Sub-totals | | | | | 182.90 | 98.60 | 122.40 | |
| | Customary | | | | | | | | |
| (4) | Cosme F. Lusa Lema | n.a. | Yes | G | A | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.00 | Pica |
| (6) | Lidia Olazabel Perez | n.a. | Yes | S | A | 0.80 | 0.80 | 2.00 | Pica |
| | Sub-totals | | | | | 2.30 | 2.30 | 3.00 | |
| | Totals | | | | | 185.20 | 100.90 | 125.40 | |

Table C.6.1 Existing Irrigation Water Rights/Use - Pica and Matilla Area

* Estimates based on Interviews

Note: Water Source; G: Groundwater, S: SpringWater, R: River Water

Water Use; A: Agricultural, MI: Mining, D: Domestic





Chapter VII. MINING WATER USE IN THE PAMPA DEL TAMARUGAL

7.1. Existing Water Use

7.1.1 Existing Mining Companies and Mines

There are four major companies with mining operations within the Pampa del Tamarugal Basin. These companies and their mining operations are as follows.

| Company | Mine Name |
|-------------------|------------|
| Minera Mapocho | Mapocho |
| Minera La Cascada | La Cascada |
| Cosayach S.A. | Cala Cala |
| Minera Lucic | Boraton |

The latter mines (Boraton and Cala Cala) were not in production at the time of field surveys (November 1993).

In addition, A.C.F. Minera is operating at Minera Iris to the south of the Pampa del Tamarugal basin, using groundwater sources within the basin, near the southern boundary

The locations of these mining operations are shown in Fig. C.6.1.

There are other mining companies not currently operating in the basin, but with plans to begin operating in the near future; refer to Section 7.2.2

7.1.2 Existing Water Use and Real Consumption

Existing water use for mining operations in the Pampa del Tamarugal were estimated from field interviews of companies which are operating with legal water rights. These interviews were conducted by DGA as a part of the present study. The results are shown in Table C.7.1, indicating a current total demand of about 35 l/sec for existing water rights holders.

The above estimate does not consider the water demand of existing water rights holders which are upstream of the stream gauging points. Another field survey, also carried out for this study, indicated an additional 34.2 l/sec for another mining operations (La Cascada) in the Qb. Sagasca. Water rights for this mining operation are now in the process of being formalized. Other mining operations with customary water rights are also located in these upstream areas.

Mining operations dispose of their wastewaters in a variety of ways, usually involving recycling and some type of sedimentation ponds. Evaporation and infiltration of the wastewaters occurs in varying degrees. In the absence of studies on actual water consumption, it is assumed that 40% of the water used infiltrates back into the groundwater and is available for further use. For one of the water rights (Fig. C.6.2 # 29 -- 5 l/sec) the water is diverted out of the basin. Existing real consumption with respect to the Pampa basin (excluding upstream areas) is therefore estimated at $5 + 60\% \times 30 = 23$ l/sec.

7.1.3 Water Rights

Existing water rights for all uses in the Pampa del Tamarugal Basin are shown in Appendix C.4 and Appendix C.5. For their locations, see Fig. C.6.2. The existing water rights for mining use are summarized as follows:

| Type | Number of Water Rights | Number in Use | Source Type | Quantity (1/sec) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Legally Authorized | 15 d | 7 | Ground | 187.00 |
| Customar | y 1 | 1 | Spring | 7.00 |
| Customar | y <u>1</u> | 1 | River | 30.00 |
| Totals | 18 | 10 | | 224.00 |

In addition, rights are in process of being adjudicated for an additional 65 l/sec for La Cascada mine located in the Quebrada Sagasca.

7.2 Future Water Demand

7.2.1 Water Rights Applications

Water rights applications are shown in Appendix C.6. For their locations, see Fig. C.6.2. Those related to mining are picked out as shown in Table C.7.2. and summarized as follows:

| Source Type | Quantity Requested (l/sec) | Estimate of Available Yield* (l/sec) |
|----------------|---|--|
| Ground | 3721.7 | 983.8 |
| River | 352.0 | 15.0 |
| Spring | 97.0 | 10.0 |
| | 4170.7 | 1,008.8 |
| | Source Type Ground River Spring | Source TypeQuantity Requested (l/sec)Ground3721.7River352.0Spring97.04170.7 |

*At location of applicant; estimated by DGA

From this table it can be seen that the water resources available, at the locations where water rights are requested, are much less than the amounts applied for. This is especially true for the river and spring water sources.

7.2.2 Future Mining Water Demand

It is not possible to accurately estimate future mining water demands. Mining operations are periodically closing down and new ones are being started. The remaining useful life of operating mines in the area is on the order of 8 years. On the other hand, when one mine closes, another company may purchase and utilize the existing water rights.

Although the existing water demand in the Pampa del Tamarugal (excluding upstream areas) is only about 35 l/sec, this could increase considerably if a major mining company were to begin operations. Depending on the results of current studies, one large mining firm reportedly plans to begin operations in the near future, with a water demand of approximately 300 l/sec.

As compared to the uncertainties regarding existing water rights holders, it is even more difficult to accurately estimate the future mining water demands related to water rights applications. Many of the water rights applications are speculative in nature, having been applied for before water extraction or mining feasibility studies have been completed.

Only 24 among the above 67 water rights applications have been applied after water extraction test was completed. The other 43 water rights have been applied without water extraction test and so, they are considered as speculative.

Therefore in this report, the requested water quality of 1,262.3 l/s of the above 24 applications are assumed as the additional future water demand for mining use

on peak basis. The annual average water demand is estimated at 883.6 l/s by assuming the average water demand as 70% of the peak one. This additional future water demand is distributed for Pampa and upstream valley areas as shown below.

| | Nos. of | Requested | d Water (l/s) |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------|---------------|
| | Applications | (Peak) | (Average) |
| Pampa | 23 | 1,252.3 | 876.6 |
| Upstream Valley | 1 | 10.0 | 7.0 |
| | 24 | 1,262.3 | 883.6 |

The existing and future average mining water demands in the years 1992 and 2015 are summarized as follows.

| | | (unit : l/s) |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | Existing (1992) | Future (2015) |
| Pampa | 35.0 | 911.6 |
| Upstream Valley | 34.2 | 41.2 |
| Total | 69.2 | 952.8 |

7.2.3 Real Water Consumption

With the increasing use of the groundwater of the Pampa del Tamarugal, it will be necessary to increase recycling and improve waste disposal methods in order to minimize the contamination of the groundwater resource. In the absence of information on future waste disposal methods, it is assumed that approximately 60% of the wastewater will be either evaporated or diverted outside of the basin, and that the remaining 40% will be returned to the basin. The large percentage of water returned to the basin emphasizes the importance of reducing the losses in process water and the contaminants in wastewater discharges.

The existing and future real water consumption in the years 1992 and 2015 are estimated as follows.

| | | (unit : l/s) |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | Existing (1992) | Future (2015) |
| Pampa | 23.0 * | 549.0 ** |
| Upstream Valley | 20.5 | 24.7 |
| Total | 43.5 | 573.7 |
| Note * : 30 1/s x 0.4 | 6 + 5.0 l/s = 23.0 l/s | |

** : $906.6 \frac{1}{s} \times 0.6 + 5.0 \frac{1}{s} = 549.0 \frac{1}{s}$

| Map | Propietor | No. of | Now | Water | Water | Quantity | Exist. Use | Future Use | Comments | Remarks |
|------|-------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| No. | | Water Right | in use | Source | Use | (l/s) | (l/s)* | (l/s)* | | (Location) |
| (14) | Boraton Quim.Proc.Ltda. | NR-1-3-370 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | | Plant Closed | Canchones |
| (29) | H. Bussinger Cajas | T-1-4-2-001 | Yes | G | MI | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | ACF Minera | Of. Victoria |
| (30) | Luis Papic Dominguez | T-1-2-2-026 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | | | Of. Dolores |
| (31) | Luis Papic Dominguez | T-1-2-2-027 | No | G | MI | 4.00 | | | | Huara |
| (33) | Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-066 | No | G | MI | 30.00 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (34) | Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-067 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (35) | Soquimich | T-1-4-2-068 | No | G | MI | 3.60 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (36) | Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-069 | No | G | MI | 5.00 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (37) | Petromin Ltda. | ND-1-3-060 | No | G | MI | 10.00 | | | Cosayach | Pozo Almonte |
| (38) | Petromin Ltda. | ND-1-3-061 | No | G | MI | 10.00 | | | Cosayach | Pozo Almonte |
| (39) | Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-062 | No | G | MI | 0.74 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (40) | Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-80 | No | G | MI | 4.76 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (41) | Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-191 | No | G | MI | 3.10 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (43) | Cia. Mnera Chilbras | ND-1-3-261 | No | G | MI | 70.00 | | | | Of. Victoria |
| (44) | Soc. Salitrera Renacer | ND-1-3-277 | Yes | G | MI | 27.00 | 30.00 | 23.00 | Mapocho | Huara |
| (45) | Minera Challacollo | ND-1-3-307 | No | G | MI | 1.80 | 0.00 | 1.80 | | Of. Victoria |
| | Total | | | | | 193.00 | 35.00 | 29.80 | | |

Table C.7.1 Existing Legally Authorized Mining Water Rights/Usage in Pampa del Tamarugal <Derechos Legalmente Autorizados/Uso de Agua para Minería en la Pampa del Tamarugal>

* Estimates based on Interviews

Note: Water Source; G: Groundwater, S: SpringWater, R: River Water

Water Use; A: Agricultural, MI: Mining, D: Domestic

| Map Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| # | Application | Source | Use | (l/s) | (Location) | Yield (I/s) | Yield (l/s) |
| (1)Indo Andia Lusa | T-1-4-2-020 | G | MI | 157.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 60.0 |
| (2)Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-059 | G | MI | 78.0 | Oficina Victoria | 21.9 | _ |
| (3)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-029 | G | MI | 10.0 | Salar de Pintados | 0.8 | _ |
| (4)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-041 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.3 | _ |
| (5)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-044 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.7 | |
| (6)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-057 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 5.4 | |
| (7)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-058 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 1.5 | _ |
| (8)Cominor | ND-1-3-079 | R | MI | 10.0 | Qb. Yarvicoya | 5.0 | |
| (9)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-086 | G | MI | 25.0 | Pozo Almonte | 12.5 | _ |
| (10)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-087 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 4.2 | |
| (13)Serv.Topograficos y Geo. | ND-1-3-096 | G | MI | 280.0 | Pozo Almonte | 23.8 | _ |
| (18)Cosayach | ND-1-3-145 | G | MI | 20.0 | Huara | _ | 20.0 |
| (23)Peter Mufeler | ND-1-3-184 | G | MI | 100.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 60.0 |
| (25)Cosayach | ND-1-3-213 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 1.0 | _ |
| (27)Merck Quimica Ltda. | ND-1-3-216 | G | MI | 0.7 | Huara | 0.7 | _ |
| (29)Comite Agrop. Y Forestal | ND-1-3-222 | S | MI | 85.0 | Qb. Aroma | _ | 0.0 |
| (30)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-225 | G | MI | 20.0 | Oficina Victoria | 11.1 | |
| (32)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3227 | G | MI | 10.8 | Huara | 10.8 | |
| (36)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-233 | G | MI | 20.0 | Oficina Victoria | 7.1 | _ |
| (38)Mario Reveco Pena | ND-1-3-260 | G | MI | 355.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | 159.3 | |
| (42)Marcos Beovic Vranicic | ND-1-3-269 | R | MI | 100.0 | Qb.Tarapaca? | _ | 0.0 |
| (43)Lincoyan Diaz Miranda | ND-1-3-273 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.6 | |
| (46)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-278 | G | MI | 228.0 | Huara | 22.8 | |
| (48)Julian Nina Vega | ND-1-3-291 | G | MI | 15.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 5.0 |
| (51)Inversiones Junin S.A. | ND-1-3-294 | G | MI | 15.0 | Huara | _ | 5.0 |
| (53)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-296 | G | MI | 18.5 | Huara | | 18.5 |

Table C7.2 Applications for Mining Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Solicitudes de Derechos de Agua para Minería en Pampa del Tamarugal>

Page 1 of 3

| Map Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| # | Application | Source | Use | (l/s) | (Location) | Yield (l/s) | Yield (l/s) |
| (55)Luis Papic Ramos | ND-1-3-308 | G | MI | 3.3 | Huara | 3.3 | |
| (56)Raul Pizarro Araya | ND-1-3-310 | G | MI | 30.0 | Salar de Pintados | _ | 5.0 |
| (57)Cia.Salitrera Sta. Rosa | ND-1-3-311 | G | MI | 2.0 | Huara | _ | 2.0 |
| (58)Cia.Salitrera Sta. Rosa | ND-1-3-312 | G | MI | 1.5 | Huara | | 1.5 |
| (59)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-313 | G | MI | 20.0 | Huara | 20.0 | |
| (60)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-314 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.4 | |
| (61)Mining Industrial Investment | ND-1-3-320 | G | MI | 2.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 2.0 |
| (62)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-323 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 2.0 |
| (63)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-324 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 2.0 |
| (64)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-327 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (65)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-328 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 2.0 |
| (66)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-329 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 2.0 |
| (67)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-334 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 2.0 |
| (68)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-335 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (69)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-336 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (70)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-337 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (71)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-338 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (72)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-339 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (73)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-340 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 1.0 |
| (74)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-341 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | - | 2.0 |
| (75)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-342 | G | MI | 35.0 | Pica | _ | 10.0 |
| (77)Osvaldo Fuentes Flores | ND-1-3-344 | G | MI | 40.0 | Qb. Aroma | | 0.0 |
| (78)Oscar Andia Leon | ND-1-3-347 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | - | 5.0 |
| (80)Daniel Gamboa de los S | ND-1-3-362 | G | MI | 50.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 30.0 |
| (84)Cia.Fruticola San Carlos | ND-1-3-377 | G | MI | 4.1 | Pica | _ | 3.0 |
| (85)Luis Urruticoechea F. | ND-1-3-382 | G | MI | 5.5 | Oficina Victoria | 4.8 | |

 Table C7.2
 Applications for Mining Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin

 <Solicitudes de Derechos de Agua para Minería en Pampa del Tamarugal>

| Map Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| # | Application | Source | Use | (I/s) | (Location) | Yield (l/s) | Yield (l/s) |
| (86)Luis Urruticoechea E. | ND-1-3-383 | G | MI | 6.0 | Oficina Victoria | 6.0 | _ |
| (87)Luis Urruticoechea E. | ND-1-3-385 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Victoria | 0.6 | |
| (89)Luis Perez Martinez | ND-1-3-391 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 5.0 |
| (90)Luis Perez Martinez | ND-1-3-392 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 5.0 |
| (97Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-454 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 5.0 |
| (99)Minera Cerro Colorado | ND-1-3-460 | R | MI | 12.0 | Qb.Parca | _ | 10.0 |
| (100)Minera Cerro Colorado | ND-1-3-461 | S | MI | 12.0 | Mamina | _ | 10.0 |
| (103)Gian Razato Saavedra | ND-1-3-472 | G | MI | 3.0 | Pica | | 2.0 |
| (104)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-474 | G | MI | 60.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 20.0 |
| (105)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-477 | G | MI | 300.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 100.0 |
| (106)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-478 | G | MI | 700.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 140.0 |
| (108)Soc. Minera Junin ltda. | ND-1-3-484 | G | MI | 1.3 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 1.3 |
| (109)Isidoro Andia Luza | ND-1-3-485 | G | MI | 700.0 | Oficina Victoria | | 140.0 |
| (114)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-188 | R | MI | 230.0 | Qb.Aroma | _ | 0.0 |
| (115)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-282 | G | MI | 25.0 | Qb. Tarapaca | _ | 0.0 |
| | | | | 4,170.7 | | 324.5 | 684.3 |

Table C7.2 Applications for Mining Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin

<Solicitudes de Derechos de Agua para Minería en Pampa del Tamarugal>

Data Source : DGA

Note : Water Source : R: River Water S: Spring Water

Water Use : A: Agricultural MI: Mining T: Tourism

Page 3 of 3
Appendix C.1.

Existing Legally Authorized Water Rights in Arica City Area and Azapa Valley.

(Derechos de Água Existente, Legalmente Autorizados en el Area de la Ciudad de Arica y Valle de Azapa)

| Propietor | No. of Water | Water | Water | Quantity | Remark |
|---|--------------|---------|-------|----------|--------|
| - | Right | Source | Use | (l/s) | |
| | | Ave it. | | | |
| (1) Maria J. Gutierrez Valdes | MA-1-4 | G | A | 20.0 | |
| (2) Cooperatica Agricola Sobraya | T-2-7-4-002 | G | I | 50.0 | |
| (3) Manuel Madrid Aguirre | NR-1-1-1 | G | Α | 12.0 | |
| (4) Alicia Faundes Testa | NR-1-1-28 | G | Α | 40.0 | |
| (5) Comandancia VI Division de Ejercito | NR-1-1-34 | G | D | 35.0 | |
| (6) Aldo Lombardi Lombardi | NR-1-1-37 | S | Α | 50.0 | |
| (7) Raul E. Lombardi | NR-1-1-38 | S | Α | 100.0 | |
| (8) Aldo Lombardi Lombardi | NR-1-1-39 | S | Α | 100.0 | |
| (9) Raul Lombardi Lombardi | NR-1-1-40 | G | Α | 33.0 | |
| (10)Aldo Lombardi Lombardi | NR-1-1-41 | G | Α | 45.0 | |
| (11)Pascual Roco Caceres | NR-1-1-47 | G | Α | 45.0 | |
| (12)Ortuno Nieto Ltda, Cia. | NR-1-1-50 | G | Α | 45.0 | |
| (13)Carlos Mozo Weguelin | NR-1-1-53 | G | Α | 30.0 | |
| (14)Aldo Elena/Carlos Lombardi | NR-1-1-54 | G | Α | 15.0 | |
| (15)Carlos Gomez a./Otros | NR-1-1-55 | G | Α | 40.0 | |
| (16) Miroslav Gardilcic Bock | NR-1-1-56 | G | Α | 40.0 | |
| (17)Carlos Buneder Jorrat | NR-1-1-57 | G | Α | 35.0 | |
| (18)Maria Soledad Paris O. | NR-1-1-68 | G | Α | 20.0 | |
| (19) Mario I. Chang Alvarado | NR-1-1-70 | G | A | 1.5 | |
| (20)Manuel Cabrera Saavedra | NR-1-1-72 | G | Α | 3 30.0 | |
| (21) Manuel Cabrera Saavedra | NR-1-1-77 | G | Α | 5.0 | |
| (23)Embotelladora Arica, S.A.I.C. | M-1-45 | G | Ι | 15.0 | |
| (24)Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | M-1-98 | G | Μ | 230.0 | |
| (25)Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | M-1-99 | G | Μ | 183.0 | |
| (26)Eva Nancy Defilippis S. | M-1-114 | G | Α | 23.0 | |
| (27)Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | ND-1-14 | G | Μ | 30.0 | |
| (28)Aldeas Infantiles S.O.S. | ND-1-1-13 | G | D | 5.0 | |
| (29)Raul Castro Letelier | ND-1-1-19 | G | Α | 1.25 | |
| (30)Marco Antonio Aguirre Bonilla | ND-1-1-60 | G | D | 1.1 | |
| (31)ESSAT S.A. | ND-1-1-65 | G | Μ | 20.0 | |
| Total | | | | 1.607.15 | |

Data Source : DGA

Note : (1) Water Source; G: Groundwater; S: Spring Water; R: River Water (2) Water Use ; A: Agricultural; D: Domestic; M: Municipal.

I : Industrial, (3) Azapa Valley: Azapa Canal Intake-Arica City.

Appendix C.2. Existing Customary Water Rights in Arica City Area and Azapa Valley. (Derechos de Agua Existente de Costumbre en el Area de la Ciudad de Arica y Valle de Azapa)

| Propietor | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks |
|--|--------|-------------------|----------|--------------|
| | Source | Use | (1/s) | Kennarks |
| (1) Aldo Lombardi, Miroslav Gardilcic/ | R | A | 400.0 | Las Maitas |
| Youssiff Bon Artur | | | | Canal Intake |
| (2) Rhyna Blamey Rojas | G | А | 8.0 | Cana marc |
| (3) Rhyna Blamez Rojas | G | A | 15.0 | |
| (4) Rosa Lanchipa Acha | Ğ | A | 4.0 | |
| (5) Gian Luigi Canepa Capellino | G | A | 1.0 | |
| (6) Enrique Dvorquez F. | G | A | 0.27 | |
| (7) Jose Andia Ticona | Ğ | ī | 80 | |
| 8) Bartolome Stagnaro Moya | Ğ | A | 2.5 | |
| (9) Raul Castro Letelier | G | Ĩ | 1.0 | |
| 10) Miguel Ortuno Nieto | Ğ | î | 23.0 | |
| 11) Luis Olivares Limare | G | Î | 10.0 | |
| 12) Youssef Nader Bon Artium Maraum | G | Δ | 7.0 | |
| 13) Miguel Nader Bu Antun Karky/Otros | G | Δ | 5.0 | |
| (14) Fid Khalil Kharrat B | G | Δ | 12.0 | |
| Fotal | 0 | <u></u> | 12.0 | |
| 15) Azana Canal | R | Δ | (Accion) | Azana Canal |
| 10) nzupu Cului | IX. | <i>2</i> k | (Accion) | Intake |
| Bocatoma | | | 2 00 | make, |
| Surire | | | 73.00 | |
| Ticnamar-Belen | | | 42 50 | |
| La Cruz | | | 44.00 | |
| Camina | | | 81.50 | |
| Uijos de Livicor | | | 81.50 | |
| Livicar | | | 60.00 | |
| 19 de Sentiembre | | | 72.00 | |
| Sobrava Norta | | | 72.00 | |
| Sobrava Sur | | | 06.99 | |
| Como Planco | | | 202.00 | |
| Cabuza | | | 292.00 | |
| Cabuza Sonto Irono Sur | | | 79.10 | |
| Santa licite Sul | | | 129.95 | <1> |
| Carro Morono | | | 130.03 | <1> |
| Certo Moreno | | | 207.20 | |
| | | | 122.07 | -2- |
| Alto Dominor Sur | | | 04.00 | <4>> |
| Les Moites | | | 04.00 | |
| Las Maitas | | | 1.40 | |
| Cerro Somprero | | | 102.36 | |
| rago de Gomez Norte | | | 255.77 | |
| rago de Gomez Sur | | | 312.14 | |

Data Source : DGA

Note: Water Source; R: River Water, G: Groundwater

Water Use; A: Agricultural, I : Industry Accion; Special unit of water right. <1 : Including Chuval irrigation area (18.7 Shares).

<2 : Including Serafina Lombardi irrigation area (6.00 Shares) and Manuel Madrid irrigation area (7.00 Shares).

Appendix C.3 Existing Legally Authorized and Customary Water Rights in Lower Lluta Valley. < Derechos de Agua Existentes, Legalmente Autorizados y de Costumbre en las extensiones inferiores del Río Lluta >

| Water Right Source Use (I/s). (Acc.) I. Legally Authorized Water Right (1) Alfredo Ramirez Pizzaro NR-1-1-10 R A 249.0 1/s (2) Comunidad Vilca-Lordo NR-1-1-61 R A 35.5 1/s (3) Donal Erskine Molina NR-1-1-87 G A 0.25 1/s (4) Luba Irrigation Upstream Sector R A 197.80 (Acc.) Vilacollo I 5.01 1 1/d.42 Iqueta Norte 3.00 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Ararcha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 1.19 Chaquire Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 3.56 Chaquire 3.70 4.73 Giron Chaquire 3.70 1.0 1.0 Chaquire 3.70 1.0 1.0 Chapisca Norte 3.76 3.76 1. | Propietor | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks |
|---|--|-------------|--------|-------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Legally Authorized Water Right (1) Alfredo Ramirez Pizarro NR-1-1-0 R A 249.0 1/s (2) Comunidad Vilae-Loredo NR-1-1-61 R A 35.5 1/s (3) (3) Donal Erskine Molina NR-1-1-87 G A 0.25 1/s (4) (4) Luta Irrigation Upstream Sector R A 197.80 (Acc.) Vilacollo I Vilacollo II 5.01 5.02 Vilacollo II 5.01 Iqueta Norte 17.33 3.00 Vinto Norte 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.000 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 3.97 Toconasi 14.28 Cala Cala Cala 11.19 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Toconasi 1.00 Chapisca Coriente 4.73 Giron 1.00 Chapisca Surt 9.74 Vila Vila Dos 1.02 1.00 1.00 | | Water Right | Source | Use | (l/s), (Acc.) | |
| | I. Leastly, Authorized Water Di- | 1. | | | | |
| (1) Antodo Ratin Control NR-11-161 R A 2490.0/s (2) Comunidadi Vilca-Lordo NR-11-87 G A 0.251/s (3) Donal Erskine Molina NR-1-1-87 G A 0.251/s (4) Liuba Irrigation Upstream Sector R A 197.80 (Acc.) Vilacollo I 5.01 1 1 Iqueta Norte 3.00 1 1 Vinto Sur 2.82 1 1 Vinto Norte 17.33 2 2 Buena Vista 3.96 3.66 Anca Collo 1.25 1 Huacharaque 1.85 1.738 Arancha 17.38 100 Challallapo 16.61 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 1.19 Chaquire 3.56 3.70 Tauquia 8.82 3.70 1.00 Chaquire 3.70 1.0 1.0 Chaquire 3.70 1.0 1.0 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 3.97 1.00 Chapisca Surt 9.74 1.00 | (1) Alfredo Pamirez Pizarro | | D | ٨ | 240.01/2 | |
| (2) Conductar Excitedo INC-11-87 G A 0.32 1/s (4) Luta Irrigation Upstream Sector R A 197.80 (Acc.) Vilacollo I 5.01 5.01 Iqueta Norte 3.00 3.00 Vitacollo II 5.01 3.00 Iqueta Norte 3.00 3.00 Vinto Norte 17.33 3.06 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.06 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 1.4 28 Cala Cala Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila Via 3.97 3.97 1.00 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 3.97 1.00 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 3.97 1.00 1.00 Chapisca Sur | (1) Anneoo Kanniez Fizario (2) Comunidad Vilca-Loredo | ND 1161 | D | A | 249.0 VS | |
| (b) Domar Liston Manha INEP 1497 (b) A (0.2.0 gs) (4) Luta Irrigation Upstream Sector R A (0.7.0 gs) Vilacollo I 5.28 (Nec.) Vilacollo II 5.01 Iqueta Norte 3.00 Vinto Sur 2.82 Vinto Norte 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challalapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chagisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 Sautine 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 | (3) Donal Erskine Molina | ND 1.1.97 | G | ~ | 0.251/0 | |
| (v) Euka migation Closit Call Sector K A 197.80 (PCC.) Vilacollo I 5.28 5.28 Vilacollo II 5.01 1 Iqueta Norte 3.00 3.00 Vinto Sur 2.82 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challalapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 3.70 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 17.34 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Luta Irrigation Sector I R A 371.65 (Acc.) Molinos 41.50 Chaipso 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Satua Ires 21.00 | (A) Linta Irrigation Unstream S | INIX-I-I-0/ | D R | A . | 0.23 1/5 | ~~) |
| Vilacolio II 5.28 Vilacolio II 5.01 Iqueta Norte 3.00 Vinto Sur 2.82 Vinto Norte 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challalapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 10.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilea Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) 21.00 | (4) Liuta inigation Opsitean 5 | CLIOI | R | A | 177.00 (A | ((.) |
| Vitaction I 3.01 Iqueta Norte 3.00 Vinto Sur 2.82 Vinto Norte 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 3.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.76 Quispe 7.00 (5) Luta Irrigation Sector I R A 371.65 (Acc.) Molinos 13.06 Chatiapo | Vilacollo II | | | | 5.20 | |
| Nyinco Sur 3.00 Vinto Norce 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 185 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chapisca Norte 3.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R A 371.65 (Acc.) Molinos 13.00 3.05 Chapisea 1.00 662 Irenio Quispe 7.00 100 Giango 1.300 3.05 Chapisca Sur 1.00 100 <td>Vilacono n Iqueta Norte</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>3.01</td> <td></td> | Vilacono n Iqueta Norte | | | | 3.01 | |
| Vinto Norte 17.33 Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Milloune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Luta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 19.305 Chapisca Star 1.00 Dos 41.50 Almonte 3.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 10.00 <t< td=""><td>Vinto Sur</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2.00</td><td></td></t<> | Vinto Sur | | | | 2.00 | |
| Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.83 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Grance 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A 28.945 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 9.00 Vita Loredo - Loredo - Loredo - | Vinto Norte | | | | 1733 | |
| Cata 2.92 Buena Vista 3.96 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Giron 10 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 13.00 Garagera 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 3.00 Santa Ines <td>Cata</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.02</td> <td></td> | Cata | | | | 2.02 | |
| Jobila Visla 3,50 Anca Collo 1.25 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17,38 Millune 7,00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16,61 Timare 5,50 La Palma 9,79 Zora 14,28 Cala Cala 11,19 Chaquire 13,56 Tauquia 8,82 Chapisca Norte 3,70 Chapisca Oriente 4,73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9,74 Vila Vila 3,97 Tocontasi 18,49 Vila Vila 3,97 Tocontasi 18,49 Vila Vila Dos 0,62 Irenio Quispe 7,00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R A 371,65 (Acc.) Molinos 193,05 Chatiapo 1,7,34 Humire 3,76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41,50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Luta Irrigation Sector II R A 24,50 Afo | Duana Vista | | | | 2.92 | |
| Huacharaque 1.23 Huacharaque 1.85 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Os 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chaujapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 <t< td=""><td>Anca Collo</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3.90</td><td></td></t<> | Anca Collo | | | | 3.90 | |
| Arancha 1.3 Arancha 17.38 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challalapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo - Leredo - Leredo 21.00 | Hunsharraue | | | | 1.23 | |
| Mailune 1.35 Millune 7.00 Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo | Amacha | | | | 1.83 | |
| Saucine 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 4.73 Giron 10 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 EI Tambo 41.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo 21.00 | Millune | | | | 17.30 | |
| Challallapo 1.00 Challallapo 16.61 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 1.300 Bocanegra 81.00 EI Tambo 41.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) - | Saucipe | | | | 1.00 | |
| Citalization 1001 Tinare 5.50 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 1.7.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 | Challallano | | | | 16.61 | |
| Index 3.30 La Palma 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chaizapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 100 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 | Tinara | | | | 5.50 | |
| Zora 9.79 Zora 14.28 Cala Cala 11.19 Chaquire 13.56 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R R A 28.945 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 - | I a Palma | | | | 0.70 | |
| Cala Cala Cala11.19Chaquire13.56Tauquia8.82Chapisca Norte3.70Chapisca Norte4.73Giron1.0Chapisca Sur9.74Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5)Lluta Irrigation Sector IRA371.65 (Acc.)Molinos17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00EI Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRA289.45 (Acc.)Rojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLegally Auth. (NR-I-1-61)Loredo21.00 | Zora | | | | 14.79 | |
| Chaquire11.13Chaquire13.56Tauquia8.82Chapisca Norte3.70Chapisca Oriente4.73Giron1.0Chapisca Sur9.74Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5) Lluta Irrigation Sector IRA371.65 (Acc.)Molinos17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6) Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRA289.45 (Acc.)Rojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca Loredo | Cala Cala | | | | 14.20 | |
| Chapino 13.30 Tauquia 8.82 Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 4.73 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 | Chaquire | | | | 13.56 | |
| Chapisca Norte 3.70 Chapisca Oriente 3.70 Giron 1.0 Chapisca Sur 9.74 Vila Vila 3.97 Tocontasi 18.49 Vila Vila Dos 0.62 Irenio Quispe 7.00 (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I R Molinos 193.05 Chatiapo 17.34 Humire 3.76 Quispe 1.00 Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vika Loredo - Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) 21.00 | Tauquia | | | | 8.82 | |
| Chapisca Oriente3.70Chapisca Sur4.73Giron1.0Chapisca Sur9.74Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5) Lluta Irrigation Sector IRMolinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00 | Chanisca Norte | | | | 3.70 | |
| Giron1.0Chapisca Sur9.74Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5)Lluta Irrigation Sector IRMolinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00 | Chapisca Oriente | | | | 173 | |
| Chapisca Sur9.74Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5) Lluta Irrigation Sector IRA371.65 (Acc.)Molinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00 | Giron | | | | 4.75 | |
| Vila Vila3.97Tocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5) Lluta Irrigation Sector IRMolinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo13.00Santa Ines21.00 | Chapisca Sur | | | | 0.74 | |
| John VilaJohn VilaTocontasi18.49Vila Vila Dos0.62Irenio Quispe7.00(5)Lluta Irrigation Sector IRMolinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00 | Vila Vila | | | | 3.07 | |
| Vila Vila Dos Irenio Quispe0.62 0.62(5) Lluta Irrigation Sector IRAMolinos Chatiapo | Tocontasi | | | | 18.40 | |
| Irenio Quispe7.00(5)Lluta Irrigation Sector IRAMolinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte21.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRAlfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | Vila Vila Dos | | | | 0.62 | |
| (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II (7) R (8) R (9) R (9) R (10) R (17) R (10) R (11) R (11) R (12) R (12) R (13) R (14) R (14) R (15) R (15) R (16) R (17) R (18) R<td>Irenio Quispe</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>7.00</td><td></td> | Irenio Quispe | | | | 7.00 | |
| (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I Molinos Molinos<!--</td--><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.00</td><td></td> | | | | | 1.00 | |
| Molinos193.05Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRRojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | (5) Lluta Irrigation Sector I | | R | А | 371.65 (A | cc.) |
| Chatiapo17.34Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRRojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | Molinos | | | | 193.05 | · |
| Humire3.76Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRRojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | Chatiapo | | | | 17.34 | |
| Quispe1.00Bocanegra81.00El Tambo41.50Almonte13.00Santa Ines21.00(6)Lluta Irrigation Sector IIRRojas Maraboli18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | Humire | | | | 3.76 | |
| Bocanegra 81.00 El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo Loredo 21.00 | Quispe | | | | 1.00 | |
| El Tambo 41.50 Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A 289.45 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 18.50 9.00 Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 21.00 Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) | Bocanegra | | | | 81.00 | |
| Almonte 13.00 Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A 289.45 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 18.50 9.00 Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 21.00 Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) | El Tambo | | | | 41.50 | |
| Santa Ines 21.00 (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A 289.45 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 | Almonte | | | | 13.00 | |
| (6) Lluta Irrigation Sector II R A 289.45 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 | Santa Ines | | | | 21.00 | |
| Rojas Maraboli R A 289.45 (Acc.) Rojas Maraboli 18.50 Alfonso Bolanos 9.00 Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 | (6) Linte Integration Sector II | | D | ٨ | 200 45 / 4 - | |
| Notes Markovit18.50Alfonso Bolanos9.00Vilca LoredoLoredo21.00 | Doine Marchali | | К | А | 209.43 (AC | 2.) |
| Vilca Loredo Legally Auth. (NR-I-1-61) Loredo 21.00 | Alfonso Rolanos | | | | 18.50 | |
| Loredo Legany Autr. (NR-I-1-61) | Vilca Loredo | | | | 9.00 | acolly Auth ATD T 1 (1) |
| 21.00 | Loredo | | | | L | egany Autor. (NK-I-1-01) |
| Vilca Chang 27.00 | Vilca Chang | | | | 21.00 | |
| Rolanos Villanueva 9.00 | Bolanos Villanueva | | | | 9.00 | |

Page 1 of 2

| | Propietor | No. of Water Right | Water Source | Water Use | Quantity (l/s), (Acc.) | Remarks |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Ponce | | | | 7.00 | |
| | Ramos | | | | 28.05 | |
| | Santa Raquel | | | | 77.50 | |
| | San Pablo | | | | 1.00 | |
| | Flore | | | | 9.00 | |
| | Punta de Rieles | | | | 5.24 | |
| | El Pichin | | | | 2.50 | |
| | Aguataya | | | | 65.66 | |
| (7) | Lluta Irrigation Sector III | | R | Α | 539.45 (Ad | xc.) |
| | Kesler | | | | 4.70 | |
| | La Isla | | | | 22.40 | |
| | Huanca | | | | 7.08 | |
| | Puro Chile | | | | 65.90 | |
| | Linderos | | | | 23.90 | |
| | Poconchile | | | | 83.80 | |
| | Garcia | | | | 5.00 | |
| | Barranco Santa Rosa | | | | 19.00 | |
| | Mayorga | | | | 20.30 | |
| | La Palma Uno | | | | 23.00 | |
| | Huancarane | | | | 48.87 | |
| | La Palma Dos | | | | 36.00 | |
| | Visconti | | | | 119.50 | |
| | Kesler Gil | | | | 60.00 | |
| (8) | Lluta Irigation Sector IV | | R | А | 1,168.47 (Ac | c.) |
| | Arellano Beyzan | | | | 20.00 | |
| | Cora Beyzan | | | | 97.18 | |
| | El Muro | | | | 211.08 | |
| | Alanoca | | | | 26.60 | |
| | Chacabuco | | | | 342.62 | |
| | Dominguez | | | | 7.50 | |
| | Sascapa | | | | 423.49 | |
| | Bravo Uno | | | | 25.00 | |
| | Bravo Dos | | | | 15.00 | |
| (9) | Lluta Irrigation Sector V | | R | А | 163.02 (Ac | c.) |
| | Valle Hermoso | | | | La | egally Auth. (NR-I-1-10) |
| | Aica Gonzalez | | | | 30.00 | |
| | M. Beovic | | | | 8.00 | |
| | Bomba Puente Chacalluta | | | | 5.00 | |
| | Ambrosio Flores | | | | 2.00 | |
| | Bellet | | | | 32.00 | |
| | Beneficiencia | | | | 39.19 | |
| | Santa Rosa | | | | 46.83 | |
| _ | Total | | | | 284,75 1/s + 2 | 2.729.84 Acc. |

Appendix C.3 Existing Legally Authorized and Customary Water Rights in Lower Lluta Valley. (Page 2 of 2)

II. Custom Water Right

(1) Rosa del Carmen Araya Baricich

A 10.0 (l/s)

Data Source: DGA and Asociacion Regantes de Lluta. Note: Water Source; R: River Water, G: Groundwater Water Use; A: Agricultural

G

| Map | Proprietor | No. of | Now | Water | Water | Quantity | | Remarks |
|------|--------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|---|--------------------------|
| No. | | Water Right | in use | Source | Use | (l/s) | | (Location) |
| (1) | Wenceslao Carlos Alvarez | T-1-5-4-062 | Yes | S | Α | 1.50 | | Pica |
| (2) | Comunidad de Chiapa | NR-1-3-005 | Yes | R | Α | 30.00 | | Qb.Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (3) | Comunidad de Chiapa | NR-1-3-18 | Yes | R | Α | 30.00 | | Qd. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (4) | Comunidad de Chiapa | NR-1-3-19 | Yes | R | A | 30.00 | | Qd. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (5) | Ivo Ugrinovic Filipic | NR-1-3-83 | Yes | S | Α | 6.00 | | Pica |
| (6) | Remigio Esteban Chambe | NR-1-3-101 | Yes | R | Α | 1.50 | | QB.Tarapaca (Huarcaza) |
| (7) | Soc.Exp./Com.Agric.Ugr | NR-1-3-147 | Yes | S | Α | 8.00 | | Pica |
| (8) | Pedro CIsterna/Otros | NR-1-3-210 | Yes | S | Α | 55.00 | | Pica |
| (9) | Osvaldo Fuentes FLores | NR-1-3-235 | Yes | S | Α | 30.00 | | Qb. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (10) | Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | NR-1-1-298 | Yes | G | М | 22.50 | * | Oficina Dolores |
| (11) | Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | NR-1-3-299 | Yes | S | Μ | 75.00 | * | Pica |
| (12) | Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | NR-1-3-300 | Yes | S | Μ | 24.00 | * | Pica |
| (13) | Julian Garcia Baltazar | NR-1-3-319 | Yes | G | А | 30.00 | | Qb. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (14) | Boraton Quim.Proc.Ltda. | NR-1-3-370 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | Canchones |
| (15) | Deleted | | | | | | | Same as #7 |
| (16) | Wenceslao Carlos Alvarez | NR-1-3-387 | Yes | G | Α | 1.50 | | Pica |
| (17) | M.Cervellino Ragone | NR-1-3-405 | Yes | G | Α | 2.00 | | Pica |
| (18) | G.Cervellino Giannoni | NR-1-3-406 | Yes | G | А | 4.20 | | Betw.Tirana/Matilla |
| (19) | Suc.Albornoz Salazar | NR-1-3-419 | Yes | S | Α | 10.00 | | Qb. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (20) | Ser.Bien.Carabin.Mamina | NR-1-3-428 | Yes | R | D | 0.02 | | Qb. Mamina (Mamina) |
| (21) | A.Ticuna Chapalla | NR-1-3-429 | Yes | S | А | 2.00 | | Qb. Yarbicoya (Upstream) |
| (22) | A.Contreras C./Otros | NR-1-3-439 | Yes | S | Α | 1.50 | | Pica |
| (23) | Agric. de Macaya | NR-1-3-447 | Yes | S | Α | 7.00 | | Qb.Macaya (Macaya) |
| (24) | F. Lasala Sciarafia | NR-1-3-452 | Yes | G | Α | 6.00 | | Pica |
| (25) | Angel Medina Luza | NR-1-3-453 | Yes | G | Α | 2.50 | | Pica |
| (26) | Saturonio Lupa Lupa | NR-1-3-466 | Yes | S | Α | 0.50 | | Qb. Tarap. (Molinos) |
| (27) | M. Miranda Vasquez | NR-1-3-491 | No | R | A | 0.08 | | Qb. Mamina (Mamina) |
| (28) | M. Miranda Vasquez | NR-1-3-492 | Yes | R | А | 0.08 | | Qb. Mamina (Mamina) |
| (29) | H. Bussinger Cajas ** | T-1-4-2-001 | Yes | G | MI | 5.00 | | Of. Victoria |
| (30) | Luis Papic Dominguez | T-1-2-2-026 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | Of. Dolores |
| (31) | Luis Papic Dominguez | T-1-2-2-027 | No | G | MI | 4.00 | | Huara |
| (32) | Embotella. Arica | T-1-2-4-050 | Yes | G | Ι | 0.10 | | Qb.Mamina (Mamina) |
| (33) | Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-066 | No | G | MI | 30.00 | | Of. Victoria |
| (34) | Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-067 | No | G | MI | 6.00 | | Of. Victoria |
| (35) | Soquimich | T-1-4-2-068 | No | G | MI | 3.60 | | Of. Victoria |

Appendix C.4. Existing Legally Authorized Water Rights in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Derechos de Agua Existente Legalmente Autorizado en la Cuenca de la Pampa del Tamarugal>

Page 1 of 2

| Map Proprietor | No. of | Now | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|---------------------|
| No. | Water Right | in use | Source | Use | (l/s) | (Location) |
| (36) Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-069 | No | G | MI | 5.00 | Of. Victoria |
| (37) Petromin Ltda. | ND-1-3-060 | Yes | G | MI | 10.00 | Pozo Almonte |
| (38) Petromin Ltda. | ND-1-3-061 | Yes | G | MI | 10.00 | Pozo Almonte |
| (39) Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-062 | No | G | MI | 0.74 | Of. Victoria |
| (40) Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-80 | No | G | MI | 4.76 | Of. Victoria |
| (41) Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-191 | No | G | MI | 3.10 | Of. Victoria |
| (42) Sendos I Region (ESSAT) | ND-1-3-209 | Yes | G | M | 835.00 | * Canchones |
| (43) Cia. Mnera Chilbras | ND-1-3-261 | No | G | MI | 70.00 | Of. Victoria |
| (44) Soc. Salitrera Renacer | ND-1-3-277 | Yes | G | MI | 27.00 | Huara |
| (45) Minera Challacollo | ND-1-3-307 | Yes | G | MI | 1.80 | Of. Victoria |
| (46) Agric./Agroin.Esmeralda | ND-1-3-346 | Yes | G | A | 85.50 | Pica |
| (47) Margarita Cayo Mamani | T-1-2-4-021 | Yes | S | A | 20.00 | Qb. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (48) A.Contreras/T.Barrios | NR-1-3-373 | Yes | S | A | 9.20 | Pica |
| (49) Julio Ticuna Flores | NR-1-3-442 | No | S | Α | 7.50 | Qb.Cascaya Upstream |
| TOTAL | | | | | 1,531.18 | |

Appendix C.4. Existing Legally Authorized Water Rights in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin < Derechos de Agua Existente Legalmente Autorizado en la Cuenca de la Pampa del Tamarugal>

Data Source D.G.A.

Note: Water Source; G: Groundwater, S: SpringWater, R: River Water Water Use; A: Agricultural, MI: Mining, D: Domestic

* Amounts according to request for regularization - in court being adjudicated

** Now in use by A.C.F. Minera

Appendix C.5. Existing Custom Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Derechos de Agua Existentes de Costumbre en la Cuenca de la Pampa del Tamarugal>

| Map | Proprietor | No. of | Now | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|--------|--------|-------|----------|----------------------|
| No. | | Water Right | in use | Source | Use | (l/s) | (Location) |
| (1) | Now Legalized | - | | | | | Same as #18, Ap. C.4 |
| (2) | Suc. Albornoz Salazar | - | Yes | S | A | 10.00 | Qb.Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (3) | Agric. de Macaya | - | Yes | S | MI | 7.00 | Qb.Macaya (Macaya) |
| (4) | Cosme F. Lusa Lema | - | Yes | G | Α | 1.50 | Pica |
| (5) | Elías Paucay Baltazar | - | Yes | R | MI | 30.00 | Qb. Aroma (Chiapa) |
| (6) | Lidia Olazabal Perez | - | Yes | S | Α | 0.80 | Pica |
| (7) | Manuel Miranda Vásquez | - | Yes | S | Α | 0.88 | Qb. Mamina (Mamina) |
| (8) | Ejército de Chile | - | Yes | G | D | 120.00 | Pozo Almonte |
| | Total | | | | | 170.18 | |

Notes:

- 1. Above water rights do not include those in the Qb.Tarapaca and Qb Quipisca
- 2. Water Source; G: Groundwater, S: Spring Water, R: River Water Water Use; A: Agricultural, MI: Mining, D: Domestic

Data Source D.G.A.

| Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated | Comments |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|------------------------|------------|------------|----------|
| p preare | Application | Source | Use | (Vs) | (Location) | Yield (Vs) | Yield (Vs) | Comments |
| 1)Indo Andia Lusa | T-1-4-2-020 | G | MI | 157.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 60.0 | |
| (2)Soquimich S.A. | T-1-4-2-059 | G | MI | 78.0 | Oficina Victoria | 21.9 | | |
| (3)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-029 | G | MI | 10.0 | Salar de Pintados | 0.8 | | |
| (4)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-041 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.3 | _ | |
| 5)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-044 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.7 | _ | |
| 6)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-057 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 5.4 | - | |
| 7)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-058 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 1.5 | _ | |
| 8)Cominor | ND-1-3-079 | R | MI | 10.0 | Qb.Yarvicoya | 5.0 | _ | |
| 9)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-086 | G | MI | 25.0 | Pozo Almonte | 12.5 | _ | |
| 10)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-087 | G | MI | 30.0 | Pozo Almonte | 4.2 | _ | |
| 11)Soc. Com.Colectiva Moscoso,Ca | ND-1-3-090 | R | A | 7.8 | Qb.Tarapaca (Chusmisa) | 7.8 | | |
| 12)Comite Agric.Qbda.de T. | ND-1-3-091 | S | Α | 30.0 | Huara | _ | 10.0 | |
| 13)Serv.Topograficos y Geo. | ND-1-3-096 | G | MI | 280.0 | Qb. Aroma | 23.8 | - | 3 |
| 14)Soc.Agric.La Tierra Prometida | ND-1-3-098 | S | Α | 170.0 | Qb. Aroma | - | 170.0 | |
| 15)Sergio Vica Letelier | ND-1-3-100 | S | А | 1.5 | Pica | 0.3 | _ | |
| 16)Asoc.Gremial de Productores | ND-1-3-110 | S | Α | 70.0 | Qb. Aroma | - | 30.0 | |
| 17)Antonio Napoli Pardo | ND-1-3-113 | G | А | 2.0 | Pica | 0.6 | _ | |
| 18)Cosayach | ND-1-3-145 | G | MI | 20.0 | Huara | - | 20.0 | |
| 19)Comite Agrop. y Forestal | ND-1-3-148 | S.R. | Α | 85.0 | Qb.Aroma | _ | 0.0 | |
| 20)Carlos Corvalan Barreda | ND-1-3-149 | G | Α | 3.0 | Pica | _ | 1.3 | |
| 21) Valentin Mamani Choque | ND-1-3-160 | R.S. | Α | 40.0 | Qb. Aroma | _ | 0.0 | |
| 22)Soc.Agropec.Tierra de Esfuerzo | ND-1-3-162 | R | A | 85.0 | Qb.Aroma | - | - | |
| 23)Peter Mufeler | ND-1-3-184 | G | МІ | 100.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 60.0 | |
| 24)Asoc.Gremial Agrop.Pampa | ND-1-3-206 | R | Α | 280.0 | Qb.Aroma | inte | 0.0 | |
| 25)Cosayach | ND-1-3-213 | G | МІ | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 1.0 | - | |
| 26)Soc.Agro.El Progreso | ND-1-3-214 | R | Α | 100.0 | Huara | | 0.0 | |
| 27)Merck Quimica Ltda. | ND-1-3-216 | G | MI | 0.7 | Huara | 0.7 | - | |
| 28)Hector Flores Mamani | ND-1-3-221 | G | A | 25.0 | Pozo Almonte | - | 5.0 | |
| 29)Comite Agrop. Y Forestal | ND-1-3-222 | S | MI | 85.0 | Qb. Aroma | - | 0.0 | |
| 30)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-225 | G | MI | 20.0 | Oficina Victoria | 11.1 | | |
| 31)Gloria Delucchi A. | ND-1-3-226 | G | Α | 3.0 | Pica | _ | 1.5 | |
| 32)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3227 | G | MI | 10.8 | Huara | 10.8 | _ | |
| (33)Fermin Alvarez Ayavire | ND-1-3-228 | G | Α | 2.5 | Pica | 2.5 | - | |

Appendix C.6 Application for Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin

Page 1 of 4

| Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated | Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------|----------|
| | Application | Source | Use | (l/s) | (Location) | Yield (Vs) | Yield (l/s) | |
| (34)Caja Compensacion Los | ND-1-3-230 | G | Т | 10.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | - | 5.0 | |
| (35)Hernan Condore S.Y Otros | ND-1-3-231 | R | A | 60.0 | Qb.Tarapaca | - | 30.0 | |
| (36)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-233 | G | MI | 20.0 | Oficina Victoria | 7.1 | _ | |
| (37)Francisco Vilca Quispe | ND-1-3-234 | G | A | 1.5 | Pica | - | 1.0 | |
| (38)Mario Reveco Pena | ND-1-3-260 | G | MI | 355.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | 159.3 | - | |
| (39)Cesare Rossi Banchero | ND-1-3-264 | G | A | 3.0 | Pica | _ | 0.5 | |
| (40)Carlos Vega Diaz | ND-1-3-265 | G | A | 7.0 | Pica | _ | 1.0 | |
| (41)Marcos Beovic Vranicic | ND-1-3-268 | R | A | 200.0 | Huara | _ | 0.0 | |
| (42)Marcos Beovic Vranicic | ND-1-3-269 | R | MI | 100.0 | Qb.Tarapaca? | _ | 0.0 | |
| (43)Lincoyan Diaz Miranda | ND-1-3-273 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.6 | - | |
| (44)Hipolito Flores Carlos | ND-1-3-274 | G | A | 1.0 | Pica | _ | 1.0 | |
| (45)Bonifacio Caceres Roque | ND-1-3-275 | S | Α | 15.0 | Qb. Aroma | - | 10.0 | |
| (46)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-278 | G | MI | 228.0 | Huara | 22.8 | _ | |
| (47)Italo de Gregori Torre | ND-1-3-283 | G | A | 2.0 | Pica | _ | 0.5 | |
| (48)Julian Nina Vega | ND-1-3-291 | G | MI | 15.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 5.0 | |
| (49)Cardileon | ND-1-3-292 | G | A | 5.0 | Pica | - | 1.5 | |
| (50)Cardileon | ND-1-3-293 | G | A | 3.5 | Pica | _ | 1.0 | |
| (51)Inversiones Junin S.A. | ND-1-3-294 | G | MI | 15.0 | Huara | _ | 5.0 | |
| (52)Ala No.4 Fach-Iquique | ND-1-3-295 | S | T | 10.0 | Pica | _ | 5.0 | |
| (53)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-296 | G | MI | 18.5 | Huara | _ | 18.5 | |
| (54) Vicente Challapa Mamani | ND-1-3-302 | S | A | 30.0 | Mamina | _ | 0.5 | |
| (55)Luis Papic Ramos | ND-1-3-308 | G | MI | 3.3 | Huara | 3.3 | - | |
| (56)Raul Pizarro Araya | ND-1-3-310 | G | MI | 30.0 | Salar de Pintados | _ | 5.0 | |
| (57)Cia.Salitrera Sta. Rosa | ND-1-3-311 | G | MI | 2.0 | Huara | - | 2.0 | |
| (58)Cia.Salitrera Sta. Rosa | ND-1-3-312 | G | MI | 1.5 | Huara | - | 1.5 | |
| (59)Merck Quimica Chilena | ND-1-3-313 | G | MI | 20.0 | Huara | 20.0 | _ | |
| (60)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-314 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | 0.4 | | |
| (61)Mining Industrial Investment | ND-1-3-320 | G | MI | 2.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 2.0 | |
| (62)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-323 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | | 2.0 | |
| (63)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-324 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | | 2.0 | |
| (64)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-327 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (65)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-328 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | | 2.0 | |

Appendix C.6 Application for Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Solicitudes de Derechos de Agua en Pampa del Tamarugal>

(66)Luis Castillo Carvajal

ND-1-3-329

G

МІ

10.0

Oficina Dolores

2.0

| Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated | Comments |
|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|
| | Application | Source | Use | (Vs) | (Location) | Yield (1/s) | Vield (l/s) | Comments |
| (67)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-334 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | - 1014 (23) | 2.0 | |
| (68)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-335 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (69)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-336 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (70)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-337 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | + |
| (71)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-338 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (72)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-339 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (73)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-340 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 1.0 | |
| (74)Luis Castillo Carvajal | ND-1-3-341 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Dolores | | 2.0 | |
| (75)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-342 | G | MI | 35.0 | Pica | | 10.0 | |
| (76)Maria Baez Jimenez | ND-1-3-343 | G | A | 2.5 | Pica | | 10 | |
| (77)Osvaldo Fuentes Flores | ND-1-3-344 | G | MI | 40.0 | Ob. Aroma | | 0.0 | |
| (78)Oscar Andia Leon | ND-1-3-347 | G | MI | 10.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 5.0 | |
| (79) Maria Barreda Diaz | ND-1-3-350 | G | A | 14.0 | Pica | | 60 | |
| (80)Daniel Gamboa de los S | ND-1-3-362 | G | MI | 50.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 30.0 | |
| (81)CONAF | ND-1-3-368 | G | A | 9.9 | Huara | | 9.9 | |
| (82)Thelmo Barrios H. | ND-1-3-374 | G | A | 1.0 | Pica | | 10 | |
| (83)Cia.Fruticola San Carlos | ND-1-3-376 | G | A | 3.2 | Pica | | 2.0 | |
| (84)Cia.Fruticola San Carlos | ND-1-3-377 | G | MI | 4.1 | Pica | | 3.0 | |
| (85)Luis Urruticoechea F. | ND-1-3-382 | G | MI | 5.5 | Oficina Victoria | 4.8 | | |
| (86)Luis Urruticoechea E. | ND-1-3-383 | G | MI | 6.0 | Oficina Victoria | 6.0 | 1996 | |
| (87)Luis Urruticoechea E. | ND-1-3-385 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Victoria | 0.6 | | |
| (88)Juan G. Perez Taucare | ND-1-3-391 | R | A | 105.5 | Qb.Tarapaca | | | Application Denied |
| (89)Luis Perez Martinez | ND-1-3-391 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 5.0 | rippineation benied |
| (90)Luis Perez Martinez | ND-1-3-392 | G | MI | 20.0 | Pozo Almonte | | 5.0 | |
| (91)Ricardo Encina Recabarr | ND-1-3-417 | G | A | 4.0 | Pozo Almonte | - | 2.0 | |
| (92)Alvaro Arbulo Barrientos | ND-1-3-422 | G | A | 80.0 | Pica | | 5.0 | |
| (93)Luiggi de Gregori Henriquez | ND-1-3-424 | G | A | 4.0 | Pica | 3.0 | 5.0 | |
| (94)Asoc.Gremial Agric. Matilla | ND-1-3-426 | S | A | 24.0 | Pica | | 24.0 | |
| (95)Manuel Flores Cepeda Y Otros | ND-1-3-446 | G | A | 1.0 | Pica | | 10 | |
| (96)Com.de aguas Kochecos | ND-1-3-449 | G | Α | 7.0 | Pica | | 5.0 | |
| (97Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-454 | G | MI | 10.0 | Oficina Victoria | | 5.0 | |
| (98)Donato Grimaldi Feliu | ND-1-3-458 | G | A | 6.0 | Pica | | 4.0 | |
| (99) Minera Cerro Colorado | ND-1-3-460 | R | MI | 12.0 | Qb.Parca | | 10.0 | |

Appendix C.6 Application for Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Solicitudes de Derechos de Agua en Pampa del Tamarugal>

Page 3 of 4

| Applicant | No. of | Water | Water | Quantity | Remarks | Verified | Estimated | Comments |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| | Application | Source | Use | (1/s) | (Location) | Yield (l/s) | Yield (l/s) | |
| (100)Minera Cerro Colorado | ND-1-3-461 | S | MI | 12.0 | Mamina | _ | 10.0 | |
| (101)CAPPTA | ND-1-3-464 | R,S | Α | 100.0 | Huara | _ | 0.0 | |
| (102)Luiggi de Gregori H. | ND-1-3-470 | G | Α | 8.0 | Pica | 6.0 | - | |
| (103)Gian Razato Saavedra | ND-1-3-472 | G | MI | 3.0 | Pica | - | 2.0 | |
| (104)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-474 | G | MI | 60.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 20.0 | |
| (105)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-477 | G | MI | 300.0 | Oficina Victoria | - | 100.0 | |
| (106)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-478 | G | MI | 700.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 140.0 | |
| (107)Ejercito de Chile | ND-1-3-481 | G | D | 6.0 | Pozo Almonte | _ | 6.0 | |
| (108)Soc. Minera Junin Itda. | ND-1-3-484 | G | MI | 1.3 | Oficina Dolores | _ | 1.3 | |
| (109)Isidoro Andia Luza | ND-1-3-485 | G | MI | 700.0 | Oficina Victoria | _ | 140.0 | |
| (110)Manuel Ticuna Mamani | ND-1-3-488 | G | А | 200.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | _ | 100.0 | |
| (111)Jose Cabrera Henriquez | ND-1-3-489 | G | Α | 3.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | _ | 1.0 | |
| (112)ESSAT S.A. | ND-1-3-494 | G | Μ | 80.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | _ | 80.0 | |
| (113)ESSAT S.A. | ND-1-3-495 | G | Μ | 320.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | _ | 320.0 | |
| (114)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-188 | R | MI | 230.0 | Qb.Aroma | _ | 0.0 | |
| (115)Soquimich S.A. | ND-1-3-282 | G | MI | 25.0 | Qb.Tarapaca | - | 0.0 | |
| (116)Sergio Mege Maturana | ND-1-3-309 | G | Α | 2.0 | Between Tirana + Pica | _ | 1.0 | |
| (117)Usuarios Sector Ipla | ND-1-3-430 | S | Α | 4.0 | Mamina | | | |

Appendix C.6 Application for Water Right in Pampa del Tamarugal Basin <Solicitudes de Derechos de Asua en Pampa del Tamarugal>

TOTAL 6,408.6 344.7 1,528.0

Data Source: DGA

Note: Water Source; G: Groundwater R: River Water S: Spring Water Water Use; A: Agricultural M: Municipal D: Domestic MI: Mining T: Tourism

AP - 11